GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF BIGHEAD CARP ARISTICHTHYS NOBILIS (RICHARDSON) IN MONOCULTURE SYSTEM WITH AND WITHOUT SUPPLEMENTARY FEEDING

M. AFZAL, A. RAB, N. AKHTAR, I. AHMED¹, M. F. KHAN AND M. QAYYUM²

Aquaculture and Fisheries Program, National Agriculture Research Center, Islamabad; ¹Department of Zoology and Fisheries, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad; ²Department of Zoology, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Growth performance of bighead carp (*Aristichthys nobilis*) in monoculture system with and without supplementary feeding indicated that supplementary feeding played an important role in better growth of bighead carp. The average initial weight of bighead carp at the time of stocking was $11.40 \pm 3.25g$ and final weight of 902.00 \pm 4.63g was recorded after 12 months of experiment using organic (cow dung) and inorganic (urea and single super phosphate) fertilizers. The average initial weight at the time of stocking of bighead carp was $10.3 \pm 1.32g$ and average final weight was $1170 \pm 4.85g$ at the end of experiment using fertilizers and supplementary feed with 20% crude protein. Significantly higher (P<0.05) weight gain of $1170.0 \pm 3.25g$ was achieved in treatment with supplementary feeding compared to $902.00 \pm 4.63g$ without supplementary feeding. Temperature range of $26-33^{\circ}$ C (during May to July) was found to be suitable for fish growth, as better weight gain and lower feed conversion ratio were recorded during this period. Lower growth was observed during the months of December, January and February, when average temperature was $7-15^{\circ}$ C.

Key words: Bighead carp (Aristichthys nobilis), monoculture, supplementary feeding, FCR, growth.

INTRODUCTION

Bighead carp (Aristichthys nobilis) has been introduced in Pakistan to enhance the number of species for polyculture fish farming system. Bighead carp is recognized throughout the world, primarily because of its versatility in aquaculture operations (Opuszynski, 1981). The growth performance of bighead carp under various culture systems (monoculture and polyculture) is expected to show considerable variations for its potential benefits and risks like other introduced fish elsewhere in the world (Coates, 1986). Monoculture is practiced less frequently than polyculture because in polyculture natural productivity of pond can fully be utilized (Tapiador et al., 1977). However, monoculture of consumer preferred species has been practiced in many countries and has resulted in high survival rate and production (Reddy et al., 2002).

Supplementary feeding plays an important role in intensive and semi-intensive fish culture system. It also offers best means of fish production within shortest possible time in ponds (Devaraj *et al.*, 1986). The use of supplementary feed in carp culture has become inevitable for the success of fish culture (Shahzadi *et al.*, 2006). Cremer and Smitherman (1980) reported that bighead carp has the feeding habit to consume floating pellets that could be better utilized by the fish. Several artificial feedstuffs of plant and animal origin (byproducts) are useful to formulate the feed for different developmental stages of carp and trout. Supplementary feeding is known to increase the carrying capacity of culture systems and hence enhance fish production by many folds (Samocha *et al.*, 2004).

The main objective of this study was to compare the growth performance of bighead carp under monoculture system, with and without supplementary feeding, in semi intensive culture system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fertilization of ponds

Ponds were fertilized with organic and inorganic fertilizers. Organic manure (cow dung) was added @ 10,000 kgha⁻¹ (Jhingran, 1995). The inorganic fertilizers (urea and single super phosphate) were added @ 200kg ha⁻¹ (Garg and Bhatnagar, 2000).

Stocking of fish fingerlings

Fingerling of bighead carp (A. nobilis) with an average initial weight of $10.3 \pm 1.32g$ were acquired from Aquaculture and Fisheries Program, National Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad, Pakistan for this experiment. Fish fingerlings were stocked (a) 2000/ha with a stocking rate of 160 fish in 0.08 ha ponds with three replications (3 ponds for each treatment). Two treatments were given to earthen ponds. In treatment 1, ponds were fertilized only and no supplementary feed was offered. In treatment 2, ponds were given supplementary feed in addition to fertilization. Growth performance of bighead carp was

monitored in a monoculture system for 12 months from August 2004 to July 2005.

Supplementary feeding

Supplementary feed containing 20% crude protein was offered to the fish @3% of body weight daily in two equal meals during morning (08:00h) and evening (18:00h). Supplementary feed was prepared from locally available feed ingredients viz. fish meal, rice bran, soybean meal, wheat bran, rapeseed meal and vitamin premix (Table 1).

Table 1: Composition of supplementary diet fed to bighead carp in monoculture system

Ingredients	Amount (%)
Fish meal	20.00
Soybean meal	10.00
Rapeseed meal	5.00
Rice bran	40.00
Wheat bran	23.00
Vitamin premix	2.00
Chemical composition	
Crude protein (% dry matter)	22.76
Energy (Kcal/kg)	281.00
Fibre (% dry matter)	1.40
Price/Kg (in Rs)	14.00

Fish sampling for growth studies

Water level in ponds was maintained at the depth of 1.4-1.5m during the whole study period. Fish samples were collected on monthly basis from August 2004 to July 2005 with the help of drag net of 2.5 cm stretched mesh size to record data on body weight for growth performance study. The sample was restricted to 20% of stocking density (32 fish). The feed conversion ratios (FCR) were calculated by taking the amount of feed provided and net fish yield.

Monitoring of water quality parameters

Water quality of ponds was monitored fortnightly to record physicochemical characteristics viz. temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and total alkalinity.

Statistical analysis

Mean values (\pm SE) for each parameter were computed. The effect of various treatments on the investigated traits was analyzed by analysis of variance to determine the significant growth in different months and student t-test to determine the significant growth between two treatments. The significance of difference between the means was evaluated by using the Duncan's multiple range test (Steel *et al.*, 1996).

RESULTS

Growth performance without supplementary feeding

The average initial weight of bighead carp at the time of stocking was $11.40 \pm 3.25g$ and the final weight of $902.00 \pm 4.63g$ was recorded after 12 months of experiment using fertilizers (T1) alone. Significantly higher (P<0.05) weight gain was recorded during the month of July (208.3 \pm 5.66g), followed by June and May (178.8 \pm 3.52 and 137.6 \pm 2.56g, respectively). Significantly lower values of weight gain were recorded during the months of December, January and February with the increment of 12.4 ± 2.10 , 12.9 ± 3.12 and $17.7 \pm 2.56g$, respectively, however, weight gains in December and January did not differ from each other (Table 2).

 Table 2: Growth performance of bighead carp in monoculture without supplementary feeding

Months	Temperature (°C)	Weight (g)	Monthly weight gain (g)
July	31.5	11.40 ± 3.25	
August	28.5	88.00 ± 7.50	76.6 ± 8.66 d
September	25.0	154.50 ± 5.77	66.5 ± 5.77 e
October	21.0	213.40 ± 4.52	$58.9 \pm 4.23 \text{ f}$
November	19.0	244.50 ± 5.36	31.1 ± 2.32 i
December	14.0	256.90 ± 5.23	$12.4 \pm 2.10 \text{ k}$
January	7.0	269.80 ± 4.12	$12.9 \pm 3.12k$
February	15.0	287.50 ± 3.26	$17.7 \pm 2.56j$
March	23.5	321.00 ± 2.36	33.5 ± 2.52 h
April	25.5	377.30 ± 1.25	56.3 ± 2.20 g
May	26.0	514.90 ± 2.32	137.6 ± 2.56 c
June	30.0	693.70 ± 2.15	$178.8 \pm 3.52b$
July	32.0	902.00 ± 4.63	$208.3 \pm 5.66a$

Values with different alphabets differ significantly from each other (P<0.05).

Growth performance with supplementary feeding

The average initial weight of bighead carp at the time of stocking was 10.3 ± 1.32 g and the average final weight was 1170 ± 4.85 g at the end of the experiment in fish given fertilizers and supplementary feeding (Table 3). Statistical analysis showed that highest monthly weight gain was recorded in July (296.00 \pm 2.32g). The values for the months of May and June (150.00 \pm 2.12 and 174.00 \pm 1.65g) were lower than for July, but did differ from each other. The lowest weight gain was found in January (23.00 \pm 1.53g). Feed conversion ratios (FCR) were higher during winter months and lower during summer months.

When comparing growth of fish in ponds with and without supplementary feed (Table 4), a significantly higher (P<0.05) final weight of 1170.0 \pm 4.85g was achieved in monoculture experiments with supplementary feed versus 902.00 \pm 4.63g in fertilized ponds without supplementary feeding. Total weight gain of bighead carp fed with supplementary feeding was also significantly higher (P<0.05) than without supplementary feeding. FCR for whole experiment was 4.24 \pm 1.17 (Table 4).

Physicochemical characteristics viz. temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and total alkalinity in two types of ponds were recorded. The values of temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and total alkalinity varied between 7.0-33°C, 7.0-8.5, 4.05-7.0 mg/l and 123-217 mg/l, respectively in both the treatments (Figure 1 a, b, c and d). Water temperature did not differ between the experimental ponds, however, were found to be influenced by seasonal changes, lower temperature was recorded during December to February (7-15°C) and optimum during May-August (26-33°C). Dissolved oxygen in two treatments showed some variations: lower values were found during summer months and higher in winter months. The pH did not show variations between the treatments except for the month of August and April. Alkalinity varied between 123-217 mg/l in both the treatments.

Growth performance of bighead carp in ponds with and without supplementary feeding in relation to temperature is given in Tables 2 and 3. The better growth was found in months of July, June and May when temperature was in the range of 26-33°C, whereas the lower growth was in the months of December, January and February, when the temperature was between 07-15°C.

Months	Temperature	Mean weight	Monthly weight	Feed offered	FCR
	(⁰ C)	(g)	gain (g)	(g)	
July	32.0	10.30 ± 1.32			
August	28.5	85.30 ± 2.65	$75.00 \pm 2.52d$	$94.86 \pm 2.32h$	$1.26 \pm 0.12 f$
September	25.0	175.30 ± 2.52	90.00 ± 3.65 cd	168.60 ± 2.54 g	$1.87\pm0.01f$
October	21.0	280.00 ± 2.85	$104.70 \pm 4.21c$	$238.08 \pm 2.12 f$	$2.27 \pm 0.32 ef$
November	19.5	322.00 ± 2.65	$42.00 \pm 2.23e$	$276.21 \pm 2.02e$	$6.57\pm0.14c$
December	14.0	352.00 ± 3.25	$30.00 \pm 3.52e$	$286.20 \pm 3.25e$	$9.54 \pm 0.10b$
January	7.50	375.00 ± 2.65	$23.00 \pm 1.53 f$	$314.65 \pm 3.52d$	$13.68 \pm 0.21a$
February	15.0	402.00 ± 3.65	$27.00 \pm 1.35 f$	331.50 ± 2.25 cd	$12.27 \pm 0.11a$
March	23.5	444.20 ± 4.12	$42.20 \pm 2.32e$	348.04 ± 4.23 cd	$8.24\pm0.21b$
April	25.0	550.00 ± 4.26	$105.80 \pm 3.65c$	$462.52 \pm 4.12c$	$4.37 \pm 0.12d$
May	26.0	700.00 ± 4.32	$150.00 \pm 2.12b$	$602.4 \pm 3.65 bc$	$4.01 \pm 0.01 d$
June	31.5	874.00 ± 2.36	$174.00 \pm 1.65b$	$815.92 \pm 2.36b$	4.68 ± 0.01 d
July	33.0	1170.00 ± 4.85	$296.00 \pm 2.32a$	$981.0 \pm 3.25a$	$3.31 \pm 0.12e$

Table 3: Growth performance of bighead carp in monoculture with supplementary feeding

Values with different alphabets within a column differ significantly from each other (P<0.05).

Table	4: ()ver al	l weight	gain	(g)	with and	d without	supplem	ientarv	feeding	under	monoculture	e system
Labie	•• •	ster an		5	vh /		a minute	Juppien	i chi cui j	recomp	anaci	monocuicai	

Treatments	Initial weight	Final weight	Total weight gain	Mean daily weight gain	Feed offered	FCR
Without supplementary feeding (T1)	11.4 ± 3.25a	$902 \pm 4.63b$	890.6 ± 2.32 b	$2.47 \pm 1.12b$	_	—
With supplementary feeding (T2)	$10.3 \pm 1.32a$	1170 ± 4.85a	1159.7 ± 3.21a	3.20 ± 1.10a	4920.00 ± 3.05	4.24 <u>+</u> 1.17

Values with different alphabets within a column differ significantly from each other (P<0.05).



Fig. 1: Mean values of different physicochemical parameters in ponds water under monoculture system (▲ = treatment 1, ● = treatment 2)

DISCUSSION

Supplementary feeding is known to increase the carrying capacity of culture systems and can enhance fish production by several folds (Jhingran, 1995). In the present study, the average monthly weight gain was higher in bighead carp fed with supplementary feed than in fertilized pond without supplementation in a monoculture system which is in agreement with the findings of Devaraj *et al.* (1986), Diana *et al.* (1994), Abdelghany and Ahmad (2002) and Khan *et al.* (2004). According to Cremer and Smitherman (1980), bighead carp growth was 57% higher in ponds receiving supplementary feeding, as supplementary feeding provides fish with the additional proteins and good carbohydrates, which results in better growth (Jena *et al.*, 2002)

The maximum weight gain was recorded in the month of July, followed by the months of May and June. Sifa *et al.* (1980) observed that bighead carps were fed most intensively for 18 hours each day during July and August, feeding peaked between 1200 and

2000h. Lower values of monthly weight gain were recorded in December, January and February, which might be due to decreased food intake by fish at low temperatures (Kolar *et al.*, 2005). Better FCR was found in the month of July which is similar to Sinha and Saha (1980) but higher than 1.75-1.77 reported by Sahu *et al.* (2007). The growth and FCR are good tools to compute the acceptability of supplementary feed in fish feeding experiments (Inayat and Salim, 2005).

Water temperature did not differ between the experimental ponds, but were found to be influenced by seasonal changes. Lower temperature was recorded during December to February and optimum during May-August. The pond water temperature ranged between 26°C and 33°C during June to August was found to be suitable for the growth of bighead carp as at these temperatures fish fed extensively on natural and supplementary feeds (Bettoli *et al.*, 1985). Dissolved oxygen in two treatments showed some seasonal variations but its level was within acceptable range and had no adverse effect on fish growth (Shah *et al.*, 1998). The pH and total alkalinity remained in the

60

range of 7.0-8.5 and 123-217 mg/l respectively, which are considered suitable for fish culture (Boyd, 1982).

The present study indicates that supplementary feeding plays an important role for better growth of bighead carp and can increase the pond production. It can easily be interpreted that the temperature range of 26-33°C is suitable for the growth of bighead carp in monoculture system.

REFERENCES

- Abdelghany, A. E. and M. H. Ahmad, 2002. Effect of feeding rate on growth and production of Nile tilapia, common carp and silver carp poly cultured in fertilized ponds. Aquaculture Res., 33: 415-423.
- Bettoli, P. W., W. H. Neill and S. W. Kelsch, 1985.
 Temperature preference and heat resistance of grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, bighead carp *Hypophthalmichthys nobilis* and their F1 hybrids.
 J. Fish Biol., 27: 239-247.
- Boyd, C. E., 1982. Water Quality Parameters for Fish Culture. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp: 318.
- Coates, D., 1986. Fisheries development of the Spepik River, Papua New Guinea: proposed fish introduction. In: J. L. Maclean, L.B. Dizon and L.V. Hosillos (eds). The First Asian Fisheries Forum. Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, Philippines, pp: 367-370.
- Cremer, M. C. and R. O. Smitherman, 1980. Food habits and growth of silver and bighead carp in cages and ponds crosses with five stocks of Rohu carp (*Labeo rohita*) *C. idella, Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* and *Aristichthys nobilis*. Aquaculture, 20: 57-64.
- Devaraj, K. V., G. Y. Keshavappa and J. K. Manissery, 1986. Growth of grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (val.), fed on two terrestrial fodder plants. Aquacult. Fish Manag., 17: 123-128.
- Diana, J. S., C. K. Lin and K. Jaiyen, 1994. Supplemental feeding of Tilapia in fertilized ponds. J. World Aquacult. Society, 25: 497-506.
- Garg, S. K. and A. Bhatnagar, 2000. Effect of fertilization frequency on pond productivity and fish biomass in still water ponds stocked with *Cirrhinus mrigala* (Ham.). Aquacul. Res., 31(5): 409-414.
- Inayat, L. and M. Salim, 2005. Feed conversion ratio of major carp, *Cirrhinus mrigala*, fingerlings fed on soybean meal, maize gluten and maize. Pakistan Vet. J., 25(1): 13-17.
- Jena, J. K., S. Ayyapan P. K. Aravindakshan, B. Dash, S. K. Sahu, S. K. Singh and H. K. Muduli, 2002. Evaluation of production performance in carp polyculture with different stocking densities and species combinations. J. Appl. Ichthyol., 18: 165-

171.

- Jhingran, V. G., 1995. Fish and Fisheries of India. Hindustan Publishing, Delhi, India.
- Khan, M. A., A. K. Jafri and N. K. Chadha, 2004. Growth, reproductive performance, muscle and egg composition in grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Valenciennes), fed hydrilla or formulated diets with varying protein levels. Aquacul. Res., 35: 1277-1285.
- Kolar, C. S., D. C. Chapman, Jr. W. R. Courtenay, C. M. Housel, J. D. Williams and D. P. Jennings, 2005. Asian carps of the Genus *Hypophthalmichthys* (Pisces, *Cyprinidae*)—A biological synopsis and environmental risk assessment. Report to US Fish and Wildlife Service per Interagency Agreement 94400, pp: 30-128
- Opuszynski, K., 1981. Comparison of the usefulness of the silver carp and the bighead carp as additional fish in carp ponds. Aquaculture, 25: 223-233.
- Reddy, P. V. G. K., B. Gjerde, S. D. Tripathi, R. K. Jana, K. D. Mahapatra, S. D. Gupta J N. Saha, M. Sahoo, S. Lenka, P. Govindassamy, M. Rye and T. Gjedrem, 2002. Growth and survival of six stocks of rohu (*Labeo rohita*, Hamilton) in mono and polyculture production systems. Aquaculture, 203: 239–250.
- Sahu, P. K., J. K. Jena, P. C. Das, S. Mondal and R. Das, 2007. Production performance of *Labeo* calbasu in polyculture with three Indian major carps Catla catla (Hamilton), Labeo rohita (Hamilton) and Cirrhinus mrigala (Hamilton) with provision of fertilizers, feed and periphytic substrate as varied inputs. Aquaculture, 262: 333-339.
- Samocha, T. M., D. A. Davis, I. P. Saoud and K. DeBault, 2004. Substitution of fish meal by coextruded soybean poultry by-product meal in practical diets for the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture, 231: 197-203.
- Shah, A. K., M. A. Hossain and K. Afsana, 1998. Effect of different rice bran on the growth of Thai silver barb (*Puntius gonioniotus* Bleekri) in seasonal pods. Bangladesh J. Fish. Res., 2: 159-169.
- Shahzadi T., M. Salim, U. Kalsoom and K. Shahzad, 2006. Growth performance and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of hybrid fingerlings (*Catla catla X Labeo rohita*) fed on cottonseed meal, sunflower meal and bone meal. Pakistan Vet. J., 26(4): 163-166.
- Sifa, L., Y. Hequen and L. Weimin, 1980. Preliminary research on diurnal feeding rhythm and the daily ration for silver carp, bighead carp and grass carp. J. Fish. China, 4(3): 275-283.

- Sinha, M. and P. K. Saha, 1980. Efficacy of a commercial fish feed for composite fish culture. J. Inland Fish. Soc. India, 12: 51–55.
- Steel, R. G. D., J. H. Torrie and D. A. Dickey, 1996. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A biometrical approach. 3rd Ed., McGraw Hill Book

Company Inc., New York, USA.

Tapiador, D. S., H. F. Henderson, M. N. Delmendo and H. Tsutsui, 1977. Fresh water fisheries and aquaculture in China. A report of the FAO Fisheries Aquaculture Mission in China, FAO. Techn. Pap., 168: 1-84.