Biometric and Ultrasonographic Evaluation of the Testis of One-humped Camel (*Camelus dromedarius*)
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**ABSTRACT**

Twenty four adult clinically healthy one-humped male camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) were examined three times (beginning, mid and end) in each season (winter, spring, summer and autumn) for establishing the normal ultrasonic appearance and seasonal changes in the testicular parenchyma in the natural ecology of Punjab, Pakistan. The testes of each camel were scanned by using a B-mode real time ultrasound scanner fitted with a 7.5-MHz linear-array transducer. Scrotal biometry was done with the measuring tape during all the seasons of year. The tunics of the testes appeared as hyperechoic lines surrounding the homogenous, moderately echogenic parenchyma of the testis. The mediastinum testis was visualized as hyperechoic central line and a spot, in longitudinal and transverse sections, respectively. During winter season, the parenchyma was hyperechoic and mediastinum appeared as thin hyperechoic line. In spring, the echogenicity of parenchyma was moderate and mediastinum appeared as relatively thick central hyperechoic line. In summer and autumn, less echoic parenchyma and thick band of mediastinum was recorded. Biometric studies showed significantly (P<0.01) higher scrotal length and width of the testis during winter and spring season as compared to summer and autumn. Present study revealed that the ultrasonic structure of camel testis resembles other mammals and season has an apparent effect on the testicular size and echogenicity of the testicular parenchyma in the one-humped camel.
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**INTRODUCTION**

One-humped camel is a seasonal breeder and shows sexual activity during specific period of year known as rut or musth. Seasonal changes have evident effect on the morphology of the testicular tissue and activity of Leydig cells in dromedy (Tingari *et al*., 1984; Friedlander *et al*., 1984). The testicular weight and size (Length, width and circumference) significantly (P<0.01) increase during the breeding season of the camel compared to non-breeding season (Zayed *et al*., 1995; Masood, 2007).

Ultrasound is a non-invasive and harmless technique, which has been used for the diagnosis of several types of testicular abnormalities in the human medicine. This technique was established in 1990 as a practical tool for animal production and has been declared as a revolutionary research tool in reproductive biology (Pierson and Ginther, 1988). For the use of ultrasonography as a reliable diagnostic tool for male reproductive problems, it is must to establish the normal ultrasonographic manifestation of the testis. Some workers have reported research applications of ultrasonography in different species of animals including Female dromedary (Skidmore and Adams, 2000; Tibary and Anouassi, 2000), bovine (Pechman and Eilts, 1987; Pierson and Ginther, 1988), caprine and ovine (Ahmad *et al*., 1991; Gouletou *et al*., 2003). Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, there is no report on the ultrasonographic appearance of the testis of male one-humped camel (*Camelus dromedarius*). Thus the objective of the present work was to describe the normal ultrasonographic structure of camel testis and to monitor the seasonal changes in the testicular parenchyma of one-humped camel.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

A total of 24 adult sexually mature male camels, aged 5 to 9 years with clinically normal testes, from three districts namely; Faisalabad (n=12), Attock (n=6) and
Clinical Examination

All the experimental animals were examined for general health status and the appearance of genetalia. The testes were palpated and observed for their size, shape, free movement and position in the scrotum. The scrotal skin was observed for any kind of lesions. As the epididymis is present on dorsum of testis in camel, only tail of epididymides were palpated for the size and flexibility.

Scrotal length and width of the testis of all experimental camels were recorded by measuring tape during each season of the year at all the experimental zones under study.

Ultrasound Imaging of the Testis and Epididymis

All the camels under study were examined three times (beginning, mid and end) during all four seasons of the year at each experimental zone. The testes of each male camel were scanned while restrained in the sitting position without using any sedative using a B-mode real time ultrasound scanner (Picker CS 9100-Model EUB-405 Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 7.5-MHz linear-array transducer. The scrotal skin was washed before the application of ultrasound gel to improve the penetration of sound waves through the skin. As camel has non-pendulous scrotum, prior to scanning, the testes were pulled down very gently within scrotum and maintained there by the left hand. Each testis was scanned, by placing transducer directly on the scrotal skin. For transverse view, the transducer was placed perpendicularly to its long axis while moving it from dorsum to the ventral edge of the testis, which produced a round image of the testicular parenchyma. For longitudinal view, the transducer was placed vertically parallel to the long axis of the testis.

Statistical analysis

The means (±SEM) and ranges of biometric parameters (length and width of testes) were worked out using the computer software package Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 2003). Data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significance among different mean parameters was calculated at P<0.05 by Duncan’s multiple range (DMR) test.

RESULTS

Scrotal Biometry of the Testis

The mean of scrotal length and width of right and left testes are given in Table 1. Scrotal length of right and left testes were significantly (P<0.01) higher during winter and spring seasons as compared to summer and autumn at all zones under study. Statistical analysis revealed that the overall means of scrotal width of right and left testes were significantly (P<0.01) higher during winter and spring seasons as compared to summer and autumn at all the zones, while this parameter was recorded as significantly (P<0.01) higher at Attock zone as compared to Bhakkar and Faisalabad (Table 1). This may be attributed to the long breeding season and climate in the Attock zone.

Table 1: Comparative means (±SEM) of scrotal length and width of right and left testes of one-humped camel at different zones of Punjab, Pakistan during all the seasons of the year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>Faisalabad</th>
<th>Attock</th>
<th>Bhakkar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLRT (cm)</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>19.93±0.34b</td>
<td>22.25±1.09a</td>
<td>22.01±0.68a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>19.60±0.37</td>
<td>20.83±1.19</td>
<td>20.81±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>17.84±0.26</td>
<td>17.04±0.54</td>
<td>16.68±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>17.91±0.28</td>
<td>17.25±1.16</td>
<td>18.79±0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>21.30±0.38b</td>
<td>22.99±1.06a</td>
<td>23.06±0.88a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLLT (cm)</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>21.00±0.33</td>
<td>21.21±1.35</td>
<td>20.42±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>18.79±0.25</td>
<td>17.88±0.63</td>
<td>17.45±0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>19.05±0.34c</td>
<td>17.79±0.97d</td>
<td>20.71±0.79b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCRT (cm)</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>11.69±0.25</td>
<td>15.67±0.82</td>
<td>13.72±0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>10.16±0.24</td>
<td>15.04±1.03</td>
<td>12.71±0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>7.70±0.19</td>
<td>12.13±0.47</td>
<td>10.17±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>7.55±0.26</td>
<td>11.58±0.60</td>
<td>10.96±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>12.36±0.22</td>
<td>16.36±0.89</td>
<td>15.24±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWCLT (cm)</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>11.09±0.20</td>
<td>15.38±1.06</td>
<td>13.46±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>8.67±0.15</td>
<td>12.88±0.49</td>
<td>10.99±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>8.29±0.26</td>
<td>12.06±0.68</td>
<td>11.75±0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means bearing different letter in a row are differ significantly (P<0.05). SLRT=Scrotal length of right testis; SLLT=Scrotal length of left testis; SWCRT= Scrotal width center of right testis; SWCLT= Scrotal width center of left testis.

Normal Ultrascanographic Appearance of Testis and Epididymis

The one-humped camel testes are surrounded by tough tunics and capsule, which appeared as hyperechoic border lines of the parenchyma in longitudinal scans a thin anechoic line was found in between the two (parietal and visceral) layers of the tunica vaginalis. The mediastinum testis was visualized as a hyperechoic central linear structure in the parenchyma. The testicular parenchyma was identified as moderately echogenic and homogenous (Fig. 1a).

In transverse images the tunics were appeared as hyperechoic borders with central anechoic fluid filled thin area. The mediastinum testis was observed as central, nearly circular hyperechoic area in the mid of the parenchyma (Fig. 1b). The tail of epididymis appeared as heterogeneous less echogenic structure as compared to the testicular parenchyma (Fig. 1c). The epididymal head and body were not accessible and could not be imaged due to anatomical topographic location of the epididymis on the dorsal or cranial surface of the testes in camels.
Fig. 1: Longitudinal (a) and transverse (b) sectional ultrasonographs of the camel testes and transverse sectional ultrasonograph (c) of tail of epididymis showing; Ts =Tunics, C=Capsule P=Parenchyma of testis, Md=Mediastinum, A=Thin anechoic area within the tunics elaborating; ET=Epididymal tail, P=Testicular parenchyma

Winter                              Spring                           Summer                           Autumn

Fig. 2: Longitudinal (A, B, C and D) and transverse (E, F, G and H) sectional ultrasonographs of the camel testes and transverse ultrasonograph of right (I and J) and left side (K and L) of tail of epididymis elaborating seasonal changes: Winter: More echogenic parenchyma (P), Spring: moderate echogenicity, Summer: less echogenic and thicker mediastinum (Md) while in autumn parenchyma appeared as least echogenic and minimum interstitial connective tissue. P=Parenchyma, Md=Mediastinum, ET=Epididymal tail
Seasonal changes in ultrasonic appearance of the testis and epididymis

During winter, the testicular parenchyma was evident as more echogenic compared to other months of the year. The mediastinum testis was visualized as a thin hyperechoic line in the centre of the parenchyma (Fig. 2A and 2E). The tail of epididymis was more echogenic in this season, presumably indicating the presence of more sperms as compared to other seasons (Fig. 2I). In spring, the testicular parenchyma was seen as high to moderate echogenic. The mediastinum appeared as thick central echogenic band (Fig. 2B and 2F). While the tail of epididymis was imaged as moderately echogenic during this season (Fig. 2J).

During summer, the testicular parenchyma appeared as less echogenic and mediastinum was visualized as thicker band with scattered texture and less echogenicity (Fig. 2C and 2G). The tail of epididymis was evident as less echogenic compared to winter and spring seasons (Fig. 2K). During autumn, the testicular parenchyma appeared as least echogenic and reduced in size due to decrease in the interstitial contents (Fig. 2D and 2H). The tail of epididymis was identified as less echoic as compared to other seasons (Fig. 2L).

DISCUSSION

The present study was performed to record the seasonal changes in the scrotal size of the testis, to document the normal echotexture of the testis and epididymis of one-humped camel and to evaluate the seasonal changes in the echotexture of the testicular parenchyma at three different zones of Punjab, Pakistan. The measured dimensions (Scrotal length and width) of the testis were significantly (P<0.01) higher during the winter and early spring seasons as compared to summer and autumn. These results are in line with the previous findings (Tingari et al., 1984).

Ultrasonically, testicular parenchyma appeared as homogenous and moderate echogenic which is similar to the other animals reported earlier like bulls (Pechman and Eilts, 1987), boars (Cartee et al., 1986), caprine and ovine (Gouletsou et al., 2003; Ahmad et al., 1991) and rabbit (Aksoy et al., 2009). The testicular capsule appeared as thick hyper-echoic structure and tunics were identified as hyperechoic lines in both longitudinal and transverse planes, covering the parenchyma. A thin intratunical anechoic linear area was identified, because of fluid between the connective tissue coverings. Similar findings have been recorded in rams (Ahmad et al., 1991) and bulls (Pechman and Eilts, 1987). But these tunics were undifferentiated and appeared as single hyperechoic band surrounding the parenchyma in rabbits (Aksoy et al., 2009). Mediastinum testis, a heap of connective tissue, was a consistent ultrasonographic feature in all scanned testes. It was visualized as a hyperechoic central line in the longitudinal images and a central hyperechoic circular area in transverse plane which is in line with the findings in bucks and rams (Gouletsou et al., 2003; Ahmad et al., 1991) and bulls (Cartee et al., 1989). Because of the anatomical topographic location of epididymis in camels, only tail was visible and approachable. It was identified as heterogeneous and less echoic than the testicular parenchyma which resembles with the findings in rabbits (Aksoy et al., 2009) and rams (Gouletsou et al., 2003). However, in men the head is visualized as most clear and visible, while tail is not detectable (Lavoipierre, 2000).

In the present study, the body and head of epididymis and pampiniform plexus were not detectable due to the anatomical location of these organs on the dorsal or cranial surface of camel testes.

Season had a prominent effect on the echotexture picture of the testis and epididymis at all the experimental zones. During breeding season (winter and early spring), there is significant increase in the volume of interstitial contents, activity of the tubular germ cells and increase in the mature germ cells (Singh and Bharadwaj, 1978; Zayed et al., 1995). As echogenicity is related to the activity of the germ cells of seminiferous tubule and presence of increased number of mature germ cells (Evans et al., 1996), the testicular parenchyma appeared as more echogenic during breeding season of the camel.

Testicular parenchyma was visualized as less echoic in summer and least echogenic in the autumn season, probably due to inactivity of the germ cells and reduced number of mature germ cells. Interstitial connective tissue content begins to decline in summer and become very narrow and reduced in the autumn (Zayed et al., 1995). Though spermatogenesis is a continuous process throughout year (Tingari et al., 1984) but the activity of tubular germ cells start to turn down in summer and become least dynamic in autumn (Singh and Bharadwaj, 1978). These anatomical seasonal changes directly affect the ultrasonic picture of the testis in the one-humped camel.

Conclusions

The results of the present study indicate that ultrasonography is a proficient tool for the examination of camel testes and epididymis. The ultrasonographic appearance structure of camel testis resembles other mammals. Season has an apparent effect on the testicular size, echogenicity of the testicular parenchyma and epididymis in one-humped camels.
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