

Pakistan Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 0253-8318 (PRINT), 2074-7764 (ONLINE) Accessible at: www.pvj.com.pk

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effect of Orally Administered *Enterococcus faecium* EF1 on Intestinal Cytokines and Chemokines Production of Suckling Piglets

Yi Huang[§], Ya-li Li, Qin Huang, Zhi-wen Cui, Dong-you Yu, Imran Rashid Rajput, Cai-hong Hu and Wei-fen Li*

Key Laboratory of Molecular Animal Nutrition and Feed Sciences, College of Animal Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, P.R. China, 310058; [§]Present address: College of Animal Science and Technology, Guangxi University, Nanning, P.R. China, 530005

*Corresponding author: wfli@zju.edu.cn

ARTICLE HISTORY ABSTRACT

Received:July 06, 2011Revised:August 02, 2011Accepted:August 02, 2011Key words:ChemokineCytokineEnterococcus faeciumIntestinal mucosa

The objective of this study was to determine the effect of orally administered Enterococcus faecium EF1 on intestinal cytokines and chemokines production in piglets. Twenty-four newborn piglets were randomly divided into two groups. The treatment group (T₁), orally administered sterilized (110 °C for 30 min) skim milk 10% (2 ml/piglet/day) with addition of viable E. faecium EF1 ($5 \sim 6 \times 10^8$ cfu/ml) on 1^{st} , 3^{rd} and 5^{th} day after birth. The control group (T₀), were fed the same volume of sterilized skim milk without addition of probiotics. Feeding trial was conducted for 25 days of suckling age. At the end of trail six piglets were randomly selected from each group to collect the samples of jejunum and ileum mucosa to observe the cytokines and chemokines production. The results showed that concentrations of IL-10 and TGF- β 1 significantly increased in T₁ group. Whereas, production of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, IFN- γ and IL-8 decreased in T₁ compared to T₀. Levels of TNF- α were increased in jejunal mucosa, while decreased in ileal mucosa comparatively in T₁ group. Our findings revealed that oral administration of E. faecium EF1 induced a strong anti-inflammatory response in the small intestine. These immunomodulatory effects of this bacterium might contribute to maintenance of immune homeostasis in the intestine of piglets.

©2011 PVJ. All rights reserved **To Cite This Article:** Huang Y, YL Li, Q Huang, ZW Cui, DY Yu, IR Rajput, CH Hu and WH Li, 2012. Effect of orally administered *Enterococcus faecium* EF1 on intestinal cytokines and chemokines production of suckling piglets. Pak Vet J, 32(1): 81-84.

INTRODUCTION

It is generally accepted that the viability of probiotic bacteria is necessary for a better activation of the intestinal immune system. Galdeano et al. (2004) reported that viable bacteria stimulated the intestinal mucosal immune system to a much greater extent than nonviable bacterial cells. Applications of probiotics have been revealed immune modulating properties since its application to exert, beneficiary effects particularly in animals and human. Moreover, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) modulate both innate and adaptive immunity (Nissen et al., 2009), and Enterococcus faecium is one of LAB with inhibitory effects against several important enteropathogens (Pollmann et al., 2005). It has been demonstrated that immunological effects of LAB include modulated expression of cytokines, antibodies production (He et al., 2005), clonal expansion of IgA B-lymphocyte

and immune response, while these effects have been proven to be strain specific (Galdeano et al., 2007). Furthermore, E. faecium effects in dogs and mice to stimulating intestinal IgA production (Benyacoub et al., 2005) and modulating the composition of blood lymphocyte populations in cats (Veir et al., 2007), and levels of total IgG and cytotoxic T cells in the jejunal epithelium of piglets could reduce (Scharek et al., 2005). Early administration of E. faecium could modulate the composition of blood lymphocytes and might have effect on the expression patterns of immune cells in ileal Peyer's patch in pigs (Scharek et al., 2009). In the present study, we designed the experiment to determine the effects of viable E. faecium EF1, on cytokines and chemokines production in both, jejunal and ileal mucosa of sucking piglets and mechanisms of host's small intestine mucosal immune response to probiotics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Isolation and Preparation: The *E. faecium* EF1 used in this experiment was isolated and identified by Institute of Feed Science, Zhejiang University. The bacterial strain was cultured in de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) broth (Oxoid; England) in anaerobic condition at 37 °C till log phase. Centrifugation (4000 rpm) was used to separate the bacterial strain. Furthermore, bacteria were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4), and re-suspended in 10% sterilized skim milk to prepare required concentration (5~6×10⁸ cfu/ml).

Selection of Animals: All animals used in this experiment were purchased from Tongfushuangfeng Farming Cooperative in Tongxiang, China. Twenty-four newborn piglets ([Large White × Landrace] × Duroc), were randomly divided into two groups. The control group (T_0) and treatment group (T_1) with addition of viable *E. faecium* EF1. Each group had three replicates with four piglets per replicate.

Feeding Design: Piglets of (T_0) were fed with 10% sterilized skim milk (2 ml/piglet/day), and (T_1) received 10% sterilized skim milk (2 ml/piglet/day) with addition of viable *E. faecium* (5~6×10⁸ cfu/ml) on the alternative days 1st, 3 rd and 5th day post partum. From day 12 onward, all piglets had free access to pre-starter diets and *ad libitum* access to water. The feeding trial was conducted according to instructions of Animal Care Committee of Animal Science College, Zhejiang University.

Sample collection: All the experiment piglets were fed till weaning period (25 days). Randomly six piglets from each group were sacrificed by exsanguinations on the day of weaning. Immediately appropriate sections of piglets were dissected carefully and intestinal parts (jejunum and ileum) were selected. The collected parts were washed with sterile saline solution to remove intestinal contents. Then mucosal contents of jejunum and ileum were collected and weighed. The samples were homogenized in sterile saline solution and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and stored at -20 °C until cytokine and chemokine concentrations were determined.

Determination of cytokines by ELISA: Concentrations of interleukin-10 (IL-10), transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF- β 1), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-12 (IL-12), interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) and interleukin-8 (IL-8) were determined using the porcine Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay Kit (ELISA Kit; R&D Systems, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed using the one-way analysis of variance procedure of SPSS 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Differences between treatments were evaluated with unpaired t-test.

RESULTS

Concentrations of anti-inflammatory cytokines in the intestinal mucosa: The secretion of IL-10 was statistically increased in both jejunal and ileal mucosa of

probiotic supplemented piglets. Conversely, the production of TGF- β 1 was decreased (P<0.01) in jejunal mucosa in T₁ group whereas no difference was observed in ileal mucosa between two treatments (Table 1).

 Table I: Effect of oral administration of *E. faecium* on the secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines in jejunal and ileal mucosa of piglets

ltem		IL-10 (ng/L)	TGF-βI (ng/L)		
Jejunal Mucosa	Control (T ₀)	99.58±7.46	74.59±1.89		
	Probiotic-fed (T ₁)	155.99±6.40**	229.75±3.15**		
lleal Mucosa	Control (T ₀)	77.46±4.34	50.04±1.22		
	Probiotic-fed (T ₁)	94.37±4.10*	59.11±6.02		
Data word expressed as mean + SD. Statistically significant difference					

with respect to control, *P<0.05 and **P<0.01.

Concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the intestinal mucosa: TNF- α production increased (P<0.01) in jejunal mucosa while it was suppressed (P<0.01) in ileal mucosa in T₁ group. In addition, the production of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12 and IFN- γ was significantly inhibited in T₁ (Table 2).

Concentrations of chemokines in the intestinal mucosa: As shown in Fig.1, significant inhibition of IL-8 production was found in both jejunal and ileal mucosa of probiotic-fed piglets.



Fig. 1: The IL-8 production in jejunal and ileal mucosa of control and probiotic-fed piglets. Data were expressed as mean \pm SD. Statistically significant difference with respect to control, *P<0.05 and **P<0.01.

DISCUSSION

The development and maintenance of immune homeostasis of intestine essentially depends on signals from the gut flora (Zeuthen *et al.*, 2006). Plenty of bacteria present in the gut of a normal host could stimulate the innate immune system and then trigger physiological inflammation through the membrane-bound or soluble factors, including cytokines (IL-10, TGF- β 1, TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12 and IFN- γ) and chemokines (IL-8 and MCP-1) (Clavel and Haller, 2007). The cytokines and chemokines released from leukocytes or infected tissues could exhibit regulatory functions in both innate and acquired immunity (Tosi, 2005).

The main routine function of IL-10 is to limit, and ultimately terminate inflammatory responses. TGF- β 1 may play a homeostatic role by dampening inflammatory immune responses (Powrie *et al.*, 1994). The present may play a homeostatic role by dampening inflammatory immune responses (Powrie *et al.*, 1994). The present study was conducted to evaluate production of IL-10 and

able 2: Effect of oral administration of	E. faecium on the secretion of p	pro-inflammatory cytokines	in jejunal and ileal mucosa of piglets
--	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--

				, , , ,		10		
ltem		TNF-α (pg/mL)	IL-Iβ (ng/L)	IL-6 (ng/L)	IL-12 (ng/L)	IFN-γ (pg/mL)		
Jejunal Mucosa	T ₀	48.47±5.88	1622.2±45.11	82.22±4.65	131.62±8.01	2360.8±134.04		
	T ₁	73.00±4.38**	940.59±44.21**	35.21±5.43**	85.86±4.44**	1335.5±125.33**		
Ileal Mucosa	T ₀	160.95±3.83	2205.3±93.79	107.91±1.43	206.84±4.01	2058.8±95.95		
	T	69.82±1.76**	1154.4±38.55**	45.88±3.98**	78.21±5.22**	1939.2±103.62		

Data were expressed as mean \pm SD. Statistically significant difference with respect to control, *P<0.05 and **P<0.01.

TGF- β 1 in piglets treated with *E. faecium*. The results indicate that *E. faecium* EF1 possesses remarkable immunomodulatory activity in intestinal mucosa inducing anti-inflammatory cytokines. Moreover, Clavel and Haller (2007) reported that IL-10 and TGF- β 1 had interrelated roles in maintaining intestinal homeostasis to commensal bacteria. The findings of Di Giacinto *et al.* (2005) showed that daily administration of the probiotic (VSL#3) to mice ameliorated colitis by inducing IL-10 and TGF- β 1 bearing regulatory cells.

Furthermore, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) augment the production of an array of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12 and IFN- γ , thereby triggering physiological inflammation. Several LAB strains could induce the secretion of TNF- α by dendritic cells and peripheral blood mononuclear cells and increase the number of TNF- α producing cells in the gut lamina propria (Zoumpopoulou *et al.*, 2008; de Moreno de LeBlanc *et al.*, 2008). The interesting finding of the current study was that the production of TNF- α was improved in jejunal mucosa while it was decreased in ileal mucosa of the T₁ group.

Our findings manifested that, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-12 concentrations decreased in both jejunal and ileal mucosa in T1 group as compared to T0. Our results are in of other disagreement with studies authors (Mohamadzadeh et al., 2005), where it has been shown gram-positive probiotic bacteria, that such as Lactobacillus reuteri, were capable of inducing high secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1ß from dendritic cells and mononuclear cells. Vinderola et al. (2007) described that there was an increase in the number of IL-6+ cells in the gut lamina propria, which tended to up-regulate the release of IL-6 by the intestinal epithelial cells in animals fed with LAB. Examination of the pig small intestinal epithelial cells as well as macrophages cultured in vitro with E. faecium showed potent induction of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6 (Nissen et al., 2009). In addition, Lactobacillus plantarum and Streptococcus macedonicus also induced a strong up-regulation of proinflammatory cytokine IL-12 in vitro (Zoumpopoulou et al., 2008). IFN- γ is essential for the maturation of some immune cells and controls their cellular proliferation in intestine (Rumbo et al., 2004). Many studies have suggested Lactobacillus spp. and Streptococcus spp. augmented the number of IFN-y producing cells and synthesis of IFN- γ in the small intestine of mice (Paturi *et* al., 2007; de Moreno de LeBlanc et al., 2008). On the contrary with the previous results, the lower levels of IFN- γ in jejunal mucosa were found in T_1 group in our study.

Like many other LAB, our results also demonstrated that application of *E. faecium* suppressed the synthesis of IL-8 in intestinal mucosa. This might indicate that, as an autochthonous bacterium in pigs, an inflammation suppressive function of *E. faecium* seems to be possible (Scharek *et al.*, 2009). IL-8 governs the progress of most

local small bowel inflammations. It attracts and directs neutrophils to the site of inflammation, an instant response that is triggered to eliminate the pathogen. It may suggest that lactobacilli may impart their welfare to the intestine by inhibiting IL-8 production (Skjolaas *et al.*, 2007; Vizoso Pinto *et al.*, 2009).

The presented results showed higher concentrations of anti-inflammatory cytokines and lower concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in intestinal mucosa of sucking piglets supplemented with E. faecium. These results are in line with a previous study that oral administration of E. faecium induced a strong upregulation of the expression of IL-10 and down-regulation of IL-8 expression in the intestinal mucosa of rats (Tarasova et al., 2010). In this study, we also found that the incidence of diarrhea in the T₁ group was far lower than T_0 group (data not shown). It indicated that E. faecium triggered a potent anti-inflammatory response, which contributed to the regulation of intestinal innate immunity and homeostasis. Moreover, E. faecium also induced a pro-inflammatory response just above the "threshold level" by suppressing synthesis of the proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Reiff and Kelly (2010) indicated that probiotics had the potential to alter intestinal bacterial diversity, enhance gut barrier function and modulate host immune response. Galdeano et al. (2007) reported that probiotic bacteria could act as adjuvants of the mucosal immune response and were able to induce signals on intestinal epithelial and immune cells that evoked different cytokine responses in the intestine. The future challenge is to unravel the underlying pathways driving the beneficial probiotic-mediated immunomodulatory effects.

In addition, different levels of immune modulation were induced in jejunum compared with the ileum. This may be attributed to differences in the bacterial community between jejunum and ileum. The jejunum had less microbial diversity compared to the ileum (Wang *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, the host may up or down-regulate the innate immune response in order to maintain a healthy flora balance and intestinal homeostasis.

In conclusion, these findings indicate that *E. faecium* EF1 exhibits both anti-inflammatory and immunostimulatory activities, which essentially regulate the immunological homeostasis in piglets during sucking period.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Key Science and Technology Program of Zhejiang Province, China (No. 2006C12086).

REFERENCES

Benyacoub J, PF Perez, F Rochat, KY Saudan, G Reuteler, N Antille, M Humen, GL De Antoni, C Cavadini, S Blum and EJ Schiffrin, 2005. *Enterococcus faecium* SF68 enhances the immune response to Giardia intestinalis in mice. J Nutr, 135: 1171-1176.

- Clavel T and D Haller, 2007. Molecular interactions between bacteria, the epithelium, and the mucosal immune system in the intestinal tract: implications for chronic inflammation. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol, 8: 25-43.
- de Moreno de LeBlanc A, S Chaves, E Carmuega, R Weill, J Antoine and G Perdigon, 2008. Effect of long-term continuous consumption of fermented milk containing probiotic bacteria on mucosal immunity and the activity of peritoneal macrophages. Immunobiology, 213: 97-108.
- Di Giacinto C, M Marinaro, M Sanchez, W Strober and M Boirivant, 2005. Probiotics ameliorate recurrent Th1-mediated murine colitis by inducing IL-10 and IL-10-dependent TGF-bearing regulatory cells. J Immunol, 174: 3237-3246.
- He F, H Morita, A Kubota, AC Ouwehand, M Hosoda, M Hiramatsu and J Kurisaki, 2005. Effect of orally administered non-viable *Lactobacillus* cells on murine humoral immune responses. Microbiol Immunol, 49: 993-997.
- Galdeano CM, A de Moreno de LeBlanc, G Vinderola, ME Bonet and G Perdigón, 2007. Proposed model: mechanisms of immunomodulation induced by probiotic bacteria. Clin Vaccine Immunol, 14: 485-492.
- Galdeano CM and G Perdigón, 2004. Role of viability of probiotic strains in their persistence in the gut and in mucosal immune stimulation. J Appl Microbiol, 97: 673-681.
- Mohamadzadeh M, S Olson, WV Kalina, G Ruthel, GL Demmin, KL Warfield, S Bavari and TR Klaenhammer, 2005. Lactobacilli activate human dendritic cells that skew T cells toward T helper I polarization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 102: 2880-2885.
- Nemeth E, S Fajdiga, J Malago, J Koninkx, P Tooten and J van Dijk, 2006. Inhibition of Salmonella-induced IL-8 synthesis and expression of Hsp70 in enterocyte-like Caco-2 cells after exposure to nonstarter lactobacilli. Int J Food Microbiol, 112: 266-274.
- Paturi G, M Phillips, M Jones and K Kailasapathy, 2007. Immune enhancing effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* LAFTI L10 and *Lactobacillus paracasei* LAFTI L26 in mice. Int J Food Microbiol, 115: 115-118.
- Pollmann M, M Nordhoff, A Pospischil, K Tedin and LH Wieler, 2005. Effects of a probiotic strain of *Enterococcus faecium* on the rate of natural chlamydia infection in swine. Infect Immun, 73: 4346-4353.
- Powrie F, R Correa-Oliveira, S Mauze and RL Coffman, 1994. Regulatory interactions between CD45RBhigh and CD45RBlow CD4+ T cells are important for the balance between protective and pathogenic cell-mediated immunity. J Exp Med, 179: 589-600.
- Reiff C and D Kelly, 2010. Inflammatory bowel disease, gut bacteria and probiotic therapy. Int J Med Microbiol, 300: 25-33.

- Rumbo M, P Anderle, A Didierlaurent, F Sierro, N Debard, JC Sirard, D Finke and JP Kraenhenbuhl, 2004. How the gut link innate and adaptive immunity. Ann N Y Acad Sci, 1029: 16-21.
- Scharek L, M Filter, D Taras, P Wrede and MFG Schmidt, 2009. Influence of an *Enterococcus faecium* probiotic on the development of Peyer's patches B cells in piglets. Arch Anim Nutr, 63: 343-355.
- Scharek L, J Guth, K Reiter, KD Weyrauch, D Taras, P Schwerk, MF Schmidt, LH Wieler and K Tedin, 2005. Influence of a probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* strain on development of the immune system of sows and piglets. Vet Immunol Immunop, 105: 151-161.
- Skjolaas KA, TE Burkey, SS Dritz and JE Minton, 2007. Effects of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, or serovar Choleraesuis, Lactobacillus reuteri and Bacillus licheniformis on chemokine and cytokine expression in the swine jejunal epithelial cell line, IPEC-J2. Vet Immunol Immunop, 115: 299-308.
- Tarasova E, E Yermolenko, V Donets, Z Sundukova, A Bochkareva, I Borschev, M Suvorova, I Ilyasov, V Simanenkov and AN Suvorov, 2010. The influence of probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* strain L5 on the microbiota and cytokines expression in rats with dysbiosis induced by antibiotics. Beneficial Microbes, 1: 265-270.
- Tosi MF, 2005. Innate immune responses to infection. J Allergy Clin Immunol, 116: 241-249.
- Veir JK, R Knorr, C Cavadini, SJ Sherrill, J Benyacoub, E Satyaraj and MR Lappin, 2007. Effect of supplementation with *Enterococcus faecium* (SF68) on immune functions in cats. Vet Ther, 8: 229-238.
- Vinderola G, C Matar, J Palacios and G Perdigón, 2007. Mucosal immunomodulation by the non-bacterial fraction of milk fermented by Lactobacillus helveticus R389. Int J Food Microbiol, 115: 180-186.
- Vizoso Pinto MG, M Rodriguez Gómez, S Seifert, B Watzl, WH Holzapfel and CM Franz, 2009. Lactobacilli stimulate the innate immune response and modulate the TLR expression of HT29 intestinal epithelial cells *in vitro*. Int J Food Microbiol, 133: 86-93.
- Wang M, S Ahrné, B Jeppsson and G Molin, 2005. Comparison of bacterial diversity along the human intestinal tract by direct cloning and sequencing of I6S rRNA genes. FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 54: 219-231.
- Zoumpopoulou G, B Foligne, K Christodoulou, C Grangette, B Pot and E Tsakalidou, 2008. Lactobacillus fermentum ACA-DC 179 displays probiotic potential in vitro and protects against trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced colitis and salmonella infection in murine models. Int J Food Microbiol, 121: 18-26.
- Zeuthen LH, HR Christensen and H Frokiaer, 2006. Lactic acid bacteria inducing a weak interleukin-12 and tumor necrosis factor alpha response in human dendritic cells inhibit strongly stimulating lactic acid bacteria but act synergistically with gram-negative bacteria. Clin Vaccine Immunol, 13: 365-375.