

Pakistan Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 0253-8318 (PRINT), 2074-7764 (ONLINE) Accessible at: www.pvj.com.pk

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Newcastle Disease Virus Shedding Among Healthy Commercial Chickens and its Epidemiological Importance

Okwor Emmanuel Chukwudi, Eze Didacus Chukwuemeka* and Umeh Mary

Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka *Corresponding author: eldidacuscj@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: May 30, 2011 Revised: November 02, 2011 Accepted: November 04, 2011 **Key words:** Cloacal swabs Commercial chickens Newcastle disease virus Prevalence Tracheal swabs Newcastle disease virus (NDV), shedding among apparently healthy commercial chickens reared intensively in Nsukka and its environs were studied. Samples were collected from birds that were not vaccinated against Newcastle disease (ND), and also from those that were vaccinated but given an interval of 28 days between vaccination and sample collection. Cloacal and tracheal swabs were collected from each bird and a total of 1800 birds were sampled from 72 farms located in the area. The birds in the area were monitored for 15 days post sampling. The samples were examined for NDV by isolation in embryonated chicken eggs through the allantoic cavity route. Result showed an isolation frequency of 3.2% and this could be termed as the prevalence of NDV in clinically healthy chickens in Nsukka area as the birds remained apparently healthy for more than 15 days. We therefore conclude that there is virus shedding among healthy commercial chickens in Nsukka and its environs and this should be considered an important epidemiological factor in the spread of the disease. Healthy carriers can serve as short term reservoirs and transmit the disease to other birds.

©2011 PVJ. All rights reserved

To Cite This Article: Chukwudi OE, ED Chukwuemeka and U Mary, 2012. Newcastle disease virus shedding among healthy commercial chickens and its epidemiological importance. Pak Vet J, 32(3): 354-356.

INTRODUCTION

Newcastle disease virus (NDV), also known as Avian Paramyxovirus serotype I (APMV-1) is an RNA virus belonging to the genus Avulavirus in the family Paramyxoviridae (Mayo, 2002). The virus is an important avian pathogen causing significant disease outbreaks in poultry worldwide (Alexander and Senne, 2008; Shahzad et al., 2011). It is economically significant since it causes high morbidity and mortality, reduces egg production, deteriorates egg quality and impairs live performance (Orsi et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2011). NDV isolates have been grouped into velogenic, mesogenic and lentogenic pathotypes based on virulence, clinical signs and death in infected birds, mean death time (MDT) of chicken embryos upon allantoic fluid inoculations, and intracerebral pathogenicity index (ICPI) in day old chicks, (Anonymous, 2008; Orsi et al., 2009). In chickens, lentogenic strains produce mild or inapparent respiratory infections; the mesogenic strains produce low mortalities, acute respiratory disease and neurologic signs in some birds while the velogenic strains which can be either neurotropic velogenic NDV (NVNDV) or viscerotropic

velogenic NDV (VVNDV) cause disease with high mortality (Huang *et al.*, 2004; Piacenta *et al.*, 2006).

It is very clear that persistent virus infections or carrier states in virus infections are responsible for a wide range of human and animal diseases (Abe et al., 2007). In Newcastle disease (ND) the strain of the virus may influence its persistence or carrier state in tissues as strains with low virulence may be carried for a longer period. In Nigeria, NDV has been noted to be widespread due to rapid expansion of the poultry industry, high stocking densities and inadequate biosecurity measures. These have created conditions conducive for the spread and maintenance of the endemicity which the disease has assumed with all strains of the virus being common (Okwor and Eze, 2010). The incubation period in ND infection after natural exposure varies from 2-15 days with the average of about 5 days (Kahn et al., 2005). Experimental data using Nigerian velogenic strain of NDV have shown an incubation period of 2-5 days depending on age and immune status of the birds (Okwor et al., 2007). The usual source of infection for healthy commercial and village chickens are infected chickens which are suffering from the disease and shedding the virus and those that are incubating the virus and shedding them at the same time (Nwanta *et al.*, 2008). Virus excretion commences before clinical signs occur, therefore, those with longer incubation period posses greater danger.

Commercial flocks of poultry are important source of protein to the Nigerian populace and ND has been a limiting factor in their production. Virus shedders among healthy flocks could be a major epidemiological factor in this disease. Therefore this study was carried out to investigate the extent of virus shedding among clinically healthy commercial flocks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling of Birds: Exotic chickens, reared intensively on commercial basis were studied. These included broilers, layers, pullets and cockerel. Farms in Nsukka located in Southeast Nigeria and its environs were sampled. Twenty to thirty birds were sampled in a farm. Samples were collected from apparently healthy flocks. History of vaccinations against ND was taken and samples were collected from birds that did not receive ND vaccine for 28 days and beyond. In other words, only birds not vaccinated against ND for 28 days and beyond were sampled and sampling was delayed until 28 days post vaccination in those that had received the vaccine prior to visit.

Cloacal and tracheal swabs were collected from each bird and pooled separately in universal bottles containing 1.5ml of antibiotics (10,000 IU/ml Penicillin, 10mg/ml Streptomycin and 0.25 mg/ml Gentamycin) reconstituted with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and adjusted to pH 7.0-7.4. Samples were transported in cold flask to the laboratory where it was stored at -35° C until virus isolation. The farms that were sampled were monitored for 15 days and the health status of the birds noted.

Virus Isolation: Virus was isolated in embryonated chicken eggs using the allantoic cavity route. The pooled samples in PBS were centrifuged at 1000 g for 20 minutes and the undiluted supernatant used for the isolation, which was done according to the standard method described by Alexander (2003). About 0.2mlof the undiluted supernatant was inoculated into each of three 9-11 day old embryonated chicken eggs. After 72 hours of incubation, the eggs were chilled and the allantoic fluid was harvested. The allantoic fluid harvested was used in hemagglutination test to establish the presence of a hemagglutination inhibition test (Anonymous, 2008) using NDV specific antisera to confirm the presence of NDV.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Most of the farms visited vaccinated against ND. This informed our reason for delaying sample collection for up to 28 days post vaccination in vaccinated flocks. This was done to minimize the possibility of isolation of vaccinal viruses among the flocks and to increase the chances of isolation of field viruses. Because of the wide spread vaccinations against ND, screening for antibodies against ND was not included in this study as this will not reflect the extent of infection by field viruses but will cover greatly, antibodies developed as a result of vaccination. Seventy two flocks were sampled in 45 farms that were visited. From 72 flocks, a total of 1800 birds were sampled. Out of these, NDV was isolated in 58 (3.2%) birds while the other birds remained apparently healthy for 15 days.

The result of this study will help in evaluating the prevalence of ND among exotic birds reared intensively in Nsukka and its environs. It will also help in establishing their role in the epidemiology of ND. This study showed 3.2 % virus isolation in healthy birds in this region for the birds that remained clinically healthy up to day 15 post sampling which is the upper limit of incubation of the virus. Similar study carried out by Orsi et al. (2010) in Brazil showed a prevalence of 6.8 - 58.4% within some geographical regions. However, they did not distinguish between vaccinated birds & birds that were not immediately vaccinated as the higher prevalence was got in regions where vaccination was widely done. Alexander and Senne (2008) noted that vaccines protect birds from clinical disease while virus replication and excretion may occur. In this study, vaccinated birds were excluded and that may be responsible for the low figure that was obtained. Nevertheless, the prevalence could be meaningful as shedding of NDV by these birds for a long time will definitely pose a risk and influence the spread of NDV.

An important factor in the epidemiology of ND in commercial chickens is the introduction of the virus in a flock. Moreover, the persistence of the virus in a flock can influence the course of the disease and the spread or distribution of the virus to neighboring farms. Nwanta et al. (2008) were of the opinion that most cases of ND in village chickens can be attributed to chickens that are shedding the virus. These are usually chickens that are incubating the disease as virus excretion commences before clinical signs occur. Birds that have recovered from clinical infection or vaccinated birds may be shedders. Vaccinated birds may show no clinical signs at all on challenge with virulent virus but will become infected and excrete the virulent virus for up to 2 weeks (Miller et al., 2009). Birds incubating the virus will spread the virus to the healthy non infected ones.

Experimental and field data review suggests that permanent carrier or shedding state in ND is rare in chickens (Alexander et al., 2006). These authors noted that though this may be true for chickens, an opinion was expressed that more lasting carrier state may occur in turkeys and other avian species. For instance, Lima et al. (2004) wrote that Japanese quail (Coturnix coturnix japonica) might be a carrier of NDV suggesting that these species may play an important role in the epidemiology of ND in regions with commercial poultry production. Sparrows have been found to be reservoirs for NDV (Silva et al., 2006). Waterfowls, ducks, geese and teals maintain NDV strains. The virulent strain circulating in wild environment can be transmitted to commercial poultry flocks (Hlinak et al., 2006). Moreover, persistent infection of cells has been seen in cell culture and some tissue explants have remained morphologically intact and able to support the replication of NDV for 6 months (Zaffuto et al., 2008). It therefore means that other avian species which are carriers and shedders of this virus are potential source of infection to chickens.

Most field outbreaks of ND in Nigeria are caused by the velogenic viscerotropic strain of the virus (Okwor and Eze, 2010; Okwor and Eze, 2011). These outbreaks are usually fulminating resulting in high mortalities within short periods of time. Ibu *et al.* (2009) was able to isolate only lentogenic strains from feral birds in Nigeria. Though attempts are under way to characterize these isolates, we believe that the three strain of NDV are present in chickens in Nigeria.

Conclusions: A prevalence of 3.2% of NDV in nonvaccinated apparently healthy commercial chickens in Nsukka and environs was found. These viruses are shed by these healthy birds and they remain healthy for up to 15 days and this can significantly influence the epidemiology of this disease. Farmers in Nigeria have paucity of information about disease prevention. Birds move freely, human beings and vehicle have no restrictions in their movements and biosecurity measures are not applied in many farms. It is therefore important that biosecurity measures be implemented and the movement of animal, man and instruments be controlled to minimize spread of the virus shed by both healthy carriers and sick birds.

REFERENCES

- Abe M, K Kaneko, A Ueda, H Otsuka and K Shiosaki, 2007. Effects of several virucidal agents on inactivation of influenza, Newcastle disease, and avian infectious bronchitis viruses in the allantoic fluid of chicken eggs. Japan J Infect Dis, 60: 342–346.
- Alexander DJ, 2003. Newcastle disease, other avian paramyxoviruses, and pneumovirus infection. In: Disease of poultry. I2th Ed, Saif YM, HJ Barnes, JR Glisson, AD Fadly, LR McDougald and DE Swayne (eds). Iowa State University Press, Ames, USA, pp: 64–87.
- Alexander DJ, RJ Manvell and G Parsons, 2006. Newcastle disease virus (strain Herts 33/56) in tissues and organs of chickens infected experimentally. Avain Pathol, 35: 99-101.
- Alexander DJ andDA Senne, 2008, Newcastle disease and otheravian paramyxoviruses. In: A laboratory manual for the isolation,identification and characterization of avian pathogens.Dufour-Zavala L, DA Senne and JR Glisson (eds), 5th Ed;OmniPress, Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, pp: 135–141.
- Anonymous, 2008. Newcastle disease. In: Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrialanimals, 6th Ed, OIE Press, Paris, France, pp: 576–589.
- Hlinak A, RU Muhle, O Werner, A Globig, E Starick, H Schirrmeier, B Hoffmann, A Engelhardt, D Hubner, FJ Conraths, D Wallschlager, H Kruckenberg and T Muller, 2006. A virological survey in

migrating waders and other waterfowl in one of the most important resting sites of Germany. J Vet Med B, 53: 105-110.

- Huang Z, A Panda, S Elankumaran, D Govindarajan, DD Rockemann and SK Samal, 2004. The haemaglutinin-neuraminidase protein of Newcastle disease virus determines tropism and virulence. J Virol, 78: 4176-4184.
- Ibu OJ, JOA Okoye, EP Adulugba, KF Chah, SVO Shoyinka, E Salihu, AA Chukwuedo and SS Baba, 2009. Prevalence of Newcastle disease viruses in wildand captive birds in central Nigeria. Int J Poult Sci, 8: 574-578.
- Kahn CM, 2005. Merck's Veterinary Manual, 9th Ed, Merck and Co, Inc,Whitehouse Station, New Jersey, USA, pp: 2255.
- Lima FS, E Satin, AC Paulillo, L Doretto Jn, VM Barbosa de Mordes and RP Hurrino, 2004. Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) as Newcastle disease virus carrier. Int J Poult Sci, 3: 383-484.
- Mayo MA, 2002. A summary of taxonomic changes recently approved by ICTV. Arch Virol, 147: 1655-1656.
- Miller PJ, C Estevez, Q Yu, DL Suarez and DJ King, 2009. Comparison of viral shedding following vaccination with inactivated and live Newcastle disease vaccine formulated with wild type and recombinant viruses. Avian Dis, 53: 39-49
- Nawanta JA, PA Abdu and WS Ezema, 2008. Epidemiology, challenges and prospects for control of Newcastle disease in village poultry in Nigeria. World's Poult Sci J, 64: 119-127.
- Okwor EC, JOA Okoye and GO Echeonwu, 2007. Distribution of a local isolate of velogenic Newcastle disease virus in organs of infected chickens. Nigeria Vet J, 28: 19-23.
- Okwor EC and DC Eze, 2010. The Annual Prevalence of Newcastle disease in Commercial chickens reared in South Eastern Savannah zone of Nigeria. Res J Poult Sci, 3: 23-26.
- Okwor EC and DC Eze, 2011. Epizootic Newcastle disease in local chickens reared in South East Savannah zone of Nigeria. Int J Poult Sci, 10: 212-215.
- Orsi MA, L Doretto Jn, SCA Camillo, D Reischak, SAM Ribeiro, A Ramazzoti, AO Mendonca, FR Spilki, MG Buzinaro, HL Ferreira and CW Arns, 2010. Prevalence of Newcastle disease virus in broiler chickens (*Gallus gallus*) in Brazil. Braz JMicrobiol, 41: 349-357.
- Orsi MA, JL Doretto, D Reischak, LH da Silva, DR Spilki, MG Buzinaro and CW Arns, 2009. Newcastle disease virus vaccine strains: Immunogenicity is not influenced by ICPI. Braz J Poult Sci, 11: 129-133.
- Piacenta AM, DJ King, BS Seal, J Zhang and CC Brown, 2006. Pathogenesis of Newcastle disease in commercial and specific pathogen free turkeys experimentally infected with isolates of different virulence. Vet Pathol, 43: 168-178.
- Shahzad M, F Rizvi, A Khan, M Siddique, MZ Khan and SM Bukhari, 2011. Diagnosis of avian paramyxovirustype-1 infection in chicken by immunofluorescence technique. Int J Agric Biol, 13: 266–270.
- Silva JSA, RA Mota, SMO Vilela, L Doretto Jn, JW Pinheiro Jn and LBG Silva, 2006. Newcastle disease virus infection in sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) captured in poultry farms of the agreste region of the State of Pernambuco. Braz J Poult Sci, 8: 125-129.
- Yan Z, Y Du, Q Zhao, R Fan, W Guo, R Ma, X Wang and R Zhu, 2011. Mucosal immune responses against live Newcastle disease vaccine in immunosuppressed chickens. Pak Vet J, 31: 280-286.
- Zaffuto KM, CN Estevez and CL Afonso, 2008.Primary chicken tracheal cell culture system for the study of infection with avian respiratory viruses. Avian Pathol, 37: 25-31.