Modern Approach to the Enigma of Bovine Respiratory Disease Complex: A Review
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ABSTRACT

Research on bovine respiratory disease complex (BRDC) has strongly contributed to a better understanding of disease at both global and local levels. New agents have been identified and their nature has been better known since then, as well as all the factors that predispose the development of BRDC. Serious work in a number of researches has led to results that have proven significant synergistic interactions between viruses and bacteria that lead to the BRDC and complicate the prevention and treatment of the diseased cattle. Nowadays, it is necessary to apply the latest molecular and other techniques in order to examine in detail all of the specific causes, describe them accurately and provide effective prevention. This can primarily be accomplished by producing different types of vaccines, which are to be improved constantly. Serious progress has been made in researches on immunogenic properties and antimicrobial activity of various natural and synthetic substances in order to improve the production of more effective vaccines for control, as well as the development of a new generation of antibiotics to treat the disease. BRDC prevention programs have been successful, in which great importance was given to proper vaccinations and herd management practices. BRDC is still the most important disease of cattle from an economic point of view, since it causes great losses and expenses.

INTRODUCTION

The bovine respiratory disease complex (BRDC) is a multi-factorial illness with many variables that in synergy cause a disease which usually leads to severe and fatal outbreaks of bacterial pneumonia. These facts make it difficult to control the BRDC, especially in the categories of beef calves before and after weaning (Lazić et al., 2004). Numerous studies around the world have demonstrated a significant role of predisposing factors in the development of BRDC (Taylor et al., 2010), included environmental (ambient temperature, humidity, ventilation, harmful gases and dust) and host factors (age, sex, breed, genetics, immune status and concurrent disease). Stressful management practices (weaning, shipping, changes in diet, high density of animals, handling and surgeries) carry a high risk of BRDC appearance in cattle herds. The most commonly noticed clinical symptoms are: high fever (about 40-41.5°C), decreased appetite, depression, nasal and ocular discharge, coughing and different degree of dyspnea (Urban-Chmiel and Grooms, 2012). Among a large group of infectious agents which participated in the pathogenesis of BRDC, the most common viruses involved in BRDC include Bovine Viral Diarrhea (BVD), Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR), Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV), and Para influenza Type-3 Virus (PI-3V) which can cause severe damage to the respiratory tract of cattle, creating opportunities for settlement of bacteria in the lungs (Pardon et al., 2011). Adherence of bacteria (M. haemolytica) to bronchial epithelial cells causes the most acute form with progressive inflammation characterized by fibrinous bronchopneumonia. Intrauterine infection of cattle with non-cytopathogenic (ncp) BVDV in the first trimester of gestation is unique, and can play a role in the emerging of persistently infected (PI) cattle, chronically ill or dying in feedlots (Peterhans et al., 2010). Latest research in this field (Raaperi et al., 2012) reveals opinion...
that BHV-1 was not associated with acute respiratory disease in adult dairy cattle, but it caused significant changes in reproductive performances. Many authors believe that the BRSV has a major role in the onset of BRDC in adult dairy cattle. The importance of bovine adenovirus, coronavirus, calicivirus, parvovirus, herpesvirus type 4, reovirus and rhinovirus is still considered episodic (Lazić et al., 2009).

The most common bacteria found in lungs of calves with BRDC include Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida. Haemophilus somnus may also be involved in cases of BHV-1 infection and can cause severe damage to heart muscles. Mycoplasma bovis have the potential to further complicate the BRDC pathogenesis (Griffin et al., 2010). Many researchers have examined the impact of various microbial agents and their interaction in the development of the clinical outbreaks of BRDC, vaccination trials (Wildman et al., 2008), serological (Loneragan et al., 2005), and molecular surveys (Thonur et al., 2012; Fratrić et al., 2012). The appearance of clinically affected animals does not typically differ significantly regardless of the pathogen involved. Viral agents may occasionally produce a clinical syndrome consistent with BRD without bacterial co-infection (Decaro et al., 2008). BRDC is one of the most costly diseases due to a decline in productivity, higher levels of mortality and morbidity (Loneragan et al., 2001; Zahur et al., 2007), increased labor costs and reduced carcass value (Schneider et al., 2009; Garcia et al., 2010).

BRDC is the most common cause of mortality in dairy cattle, with highest morbidity and higher mortality, which are noticed in case of mixed viral and bacterial infections (Duff and Galyean, 2007). Diagnosis of BRDC can be achieved through routine clinical examination and observation, quantified in the form of a clinical score. The second diagnostic method is to use a series of laboratory tests available to identify microbial agents involved.

**Bovine Herpesvirus 1 (BHV-1):** BHV-1 has an outer layer (peplos) and belongs to the genus of Varicellovirus, subfamily of Alphaherpesvirinae, and family of Herpesviridae (Šamanc et al., 2009). Strains of BHV-1 have been classified into five subtypes, according to the results of testing their genome: 1, 2a, 2b, 3a and 3b (Durišić et al., 2003). Subtype 1 of BHV-1 was isolated in cases of IBR, subtype 2 in genital disease accompanied by the appearance of rashes and inflammation of the mucous membrane of the vulva and vagina of cows (infective pustular vulvovaginitis - IPV), and subtype 3 in cases of neuralgic disease (bovine encephalitis herpesvirus - BEHV). Balanoposthitis in bulls, abortions and fatal multi-systemic infectious clinical forms in cattle are described as a result of BHV-1 infection (Nišavić et al., 2010; Takiuchi, 2005). Prevalence of BHV-1 is even more than 50% in Serbia (Lazić et al., 1995). Lazić et al. (2001) investigated the humoral immunological response in 26 cows vaccinated with anti BHV-1 vaccine ("Borinak"), Mevak, Nitra, Slovak Republic. Prior to vaccination, the values of Ab titers ranged from 1:4 to 1:64. At the time of parturition they ranged from 1:64 to 1:1024 in blood sera, and from 1:256 to 1:2048 in colostral sera. Specific Ab for BHV-1 was determined in 2 calves from these cows before feeding with colostrums. After feeding with colostrum the highest values for Ab titers were found in 2 days old calves, while the lowest values were noticed in 90 days old calves. Lazić et al. (2003) conducted a preliminary serological testing of 10 cattle herds and found that the infection was caused by BHV-1 was present in 8 herds, and the percentage of seropositive animals tested ranged from 37 to 98%. Lazić et al. (2004) report that an infection caused by a virus PI-3 was present in all 10 herds tested, and infections caused by IBRV and BVDV in 8 herds. Lazić et al. (2008) examined the influence of number of animals per household on epizootiology of BHV-1 infection. Households were classified according to the number of animals on one farm: 6 to 20, 21 to 50 and more than 50 animals in one herd. Prevalence of BHV-1 infection was estimated and led to a conclusion that the more animals raised in a household, the higher the number of cattle infected with BHV-1. Turnover of infected breeding animals from large herds spread BHV-1 infection to small herds of cattle. Šamanc et al. (2009) conducted comparative testing of 92 samples of blood serum of unvaccinated calves and heifers for the presence of Ab against BRSV, PI-3 and BHV-1 by indirect enzyme immunonassay (iELISA), revealed the presence of Ab against BHV-1 in 19 (20.65%) of the samples.

Diagnosis of BHV-1 infection was usually done by isolating the virus, detection of viral antigens by using the ELISA or immunofluorescence technique. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is one of the nucleic acid hybridization techniques capable to detect DNA of three major viral respiratory pathogens of cattle (Thonur et al., 2012). Nišavić et al. (2010) isolated the BHV-1 from bovine nasal and ocular swabs, as well as from lungs, trachea and tonsils samples and identified it by virus-neutralization test (VNT). Further identification was done by PCR assay based on two pairs of primers selected from the sequence of gb glycoprotein gene which amplified a 478 bp fragment and thymidine kinase gene which amplified an 183bp fragment. Comparative analysis of DNA fragments of controlled laboratory strain TN 41 of BHV-1 and isolated strains obtained by PCR with primers for viral thymidine kinase gene coding region, confirmed that the isolated strain belongs to BHV-1.

**Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV):** BRSV belongs to the genus of the Pneumovirus, subfamily of Paramyxovirinae, and the family of Paramixoviridae (Šamanc et al., 2009). Quinting et al. (2007) stated that the disease usually occurs in cattle younger than 18 months and calves at the age of 10 days to several months. Bugarski et al. (2011) conducted serological examination on dairy farms and cattle feedlots in Vojvodina on BRSV infection and revealed that they cause 60-70% of outbreaks of pneumonia in dairy and beef cattle, with different rates of mortality. Determination of the titer of Ab against BRSV in the blood sera was performed by VNT, using BRSV strain A5198 (ATCC USA). One of the dairy farms showed 100% of seropositive animals. From a total of 223 tested serum samples from feedlots, 60.09% contained Ab against BRSV, and seroprevalence depending on the phase of fattening. The results of testing 92 samples of blood serum of cattle in Serbia using iELISA confirmed 40 samples of Ab against the BRSV.
and PI-3 virus (Šamanc et al., 2009). Kurčubić et al. (2012) determined genome of the BRSV by Real-time RT-PCR in all 20 examined samples of discharge from the nasal mucosa of the diseased beef cattle from two experimental farms, and confirmed findings of Brodersen (2010) and Raaperi et al. (2012), stating that the BRSV is a major cause of respiratory diseases and a major contributor to the BRDC.

**Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV):** BVDV belongs to the family of Flaviviridae in the genus Pestivirus (Wegelt, 2011). Ridpath and Fulton (2009) revealed that diversity of BVDV reflected through the 12 BVDV1 subgenotypes (BVDV1a to BVDV11) and two BVDV2 subgenotypes (BVDV2a and BVDV2b), hamper the production of effective vaccines and could potentially complicate the reading of the diagnostic tests results. BVDV can be divided into the cytopathogenic (cp) and non-cytopathogenic (ncp) biotypes, based on the presence or absence of the visible cytopathic effect in infected cell cultures. PI virus carriers with a long-term life play the key role in BVDV epidemiology.

The presence of BVDV infection in Serbia has officially confirmed earlier (Kurčubić, 1993; Petrović, 2002; Petrović et al., 2004; Petrović, 2006; Mahmood et al., 2012). Kurčubić (1993) conducted study on dairy farms where the percentage of BVDV seropositive animals varied between 30.55 and 52.24%, depending on the age category and herd management. On the second animals varied between 30.55 and 52.24%, depending on farms where the percentage of BVDV seropositive al 2002; Petrović et al. (2012) confirmed on the outbreak accompanies by PI-3V infection in one bull calves fattening unit of industrial type. The geometric mean value of Ab titer against PI-3V was 14.58 at the moment of disease, while 3 and 6 weeks after outbreak it was 45.25 and 54.44, and at the end of fattening it was 22.11. Šamanc et al. (2009) state that the results of testing of 92 blood serum samples of cattle using iELISA confirmed the presence of Ab against PI-3V in 77 of samples (83.69%).

**Parainfluenza Type-3 Virus (PI-3V):** PI-3V is an immunosuppressive RNA virus belonging to the Paramyxoviridae family. PI-3V is usually transmitted in nasal discharge, favored by close contact, insufficient ventilation in facilities and stale air during shipping of cattle. The PI-3V was detected in acute and chronic cases of pneumonia predominantly in young cattle during fall and winter. PI-3V often takes part in mixed infections with BHV-1 and BVDV, with severe clinical signs and fatal outcome. Fever, cough, mucous yellow nasal and eye discharge were noticed during the mild course of the disease. Milić et al. (2003) committed biochemical characterization of the surface glycoprotein Ag of purified PI-3V virions with molecular weights of 112 kD, 81-82 kD and 30-31 kD (HN and F Ag). After that, the activation of PI-3V followed with trypsin-versen representing a prerequisite for the application of the cell fusion test, hemolytic test and the test of inhibition of cell fusion with hyper immune sera against PI-3V can be used for fast detection and identification of fusion and hem agglutinin-neuraminidase PI-3V Ag. Lazić et al. (2009) indicate that in Serbian literature there are only few data on PI-3V as the causative agent of BRDC, especially in beef cattle. Serological surveys of PI-3V infection are strongly recommended. His research presented the BRDC outbreak accompanied by PI-3V infection in one bull calves fattening unit of industrial type. The geometric mean value of Ab titer against PI-3V was 14.58 at the moment of disease, while 3 and 6 weeks after outbreak it was 45.25 and 54.44, and at the end of fattening it was 22.11. Šamanc et al. (2009) state that the results of testing of 92 blood serum samples of cattle using iELISA confirmed the presence of Ab against PI-3V in 77 of samples (83.69%).

**Bacterial agents:** The most common bacteria found in the lungs of cattle with BRDC are Mannheimia haemolytica and Pasteurella multocida. Fulton (2009) states in his review that sixteen different serotypes of biotype A and T of Mannheimia haemolytica were classified, with twelve different serotypes based on capsular serotypes for the A serotype. High immunogenic potential for incorporation in
**P. multocida** vaccine have leucotoxin produced by recombinant DNA technology and outer membrane protein PlpE. Today's researchers opinions based on the experimental evidence that the *P. multocida* is primary pathogen in the lungs of cattle have been confirmed in five-years research of Kurčubić et al. (2000). They examined a total of 1435 nasal swabs originating from diseased beef cattle with the symptoms that indicate BRDC. The most commonly isolated are *Pasteurella multocida* and *Pasteurella haemolytica* (62.78 and 40.20%), as well as *Corynebacterium pyogenes* (53.44%), *Staphylococcus albus* (24.87%) and *Streptococcus viridans* (14.07%). Hellenbrand et al. (2012) report that *Histophilus somni* is a Gram-negative pleomorphic coccobacillus that causes respiratory, reproductive, cardiac and neuronal diseases in cattle. Recently, it was discovered that bovine neutrophils and macrophages produce extracellular traps in response to *Mannheimia haemolytica*, which suggest that extracellular traps may play a role in the host response to *H. somni* infection in cattle. *Mycoplasma bovis* is a bacterial pathogen causing respiratory disease, otitis media, poly-arthritis, mastitis, and a variety of other diseases in dairy and beef cattle, with an important impact on the health, welfare, and productivity. Difficulties in implementation of *M. bovis* diagnostic and control procedures originate from very different manifestation of the disease, response to vaccination and medication, and lacks in knowledge about these diseases (Maunsell et al., 2011).

**Pathology:** The gross lesions in the case of pneumatic Mannheimiosis are characterized by fibrinous bronchopneumonia, with prominent fibrinous pleuritis and pleural effusion. The interlobular septa is distended by yellow, gellatinous edema and fibrin (Fig. 1). Coagulation necrosis interlobular interstitial oedema and congestion are present as well. Microscopically first neutrophils fill the bronchial, bronchiolar and alveolar spaces, and then alveolar macrophages start to appear in the lungs (Fig. 2). Lung lesions caused by viruses in acute phases are those of broncho-intestinal pneumonia in almost all the animal species (Fig. 3) (Marinković et al., 2007). Microscopically, the lesions are in range of necrotizing bronchiolitis, necrosis of type I pneumocytes with hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes, and mild interstitial and alveolar edema. The mycoplasmal also cause bronchiolitis, bronchiolar and alveolar necrosis, and interstitial reaction (Fig. 4). A mixture of desquamated epithelial cells, macrophages, and mononuclear cells are usually present in the lumen of bronchioles and alveoli.

**Fig. 1:** Prominent fibrinous pleuritis and pleural effusion. The pulmonary interlobular septa are distended by yellow gellatinous edema and fibrin. (Courtesy: Nikola Vaskovic, Veterinary Specialized Institute, Kraljevo, Serbia).

**Fig. 2:** Microscopically neutrophils fill the bronchial, bronchiolar and alveolar spaces, alveolar macrophages start to appear in the lungs, H&E, 200 ×. (Courtesy: Natalija Fratric and SanjaAleksic-Kovacevic, University of Belgrade, Serbia).

**Fig. 3:** The lesions are composed of necrosis of type I pneumocytes with hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes, and interstitial edema, H&E, 400 ×. (Courtesy: Natalija Fratric and Sanja Aleksic-Kovacevic, University of Belgrade, Serbia).

**Fig. 4:** The mycoplasmal also cause Bronchiolitis and prominent interstitial reaction. Numerous mononuclear inflammatory cells are present, H&E, 200 ×. (Courtesy: Natalija Fratric and Sanja Aleksic-Kovacevic, University of Belgrade, Serbia).
colostral antibodies, ensure long immune protection after the single vaccination, not to cause local and/or systemic reactions, and not to be applied by the syringe and injection needle (Rogan, 2010). Researchers have studied the impact of licensed and experimental vaccines on morbidity, mortality, and other control measures in cattle (Larson and Step, 2012). Kurčušić et al. (2011a) evaluate the immunogenicity of two experimentally prepared inactivated vaccines (mono and polyvalent) containing BVDV reference and field strains. Three experimental groups were formed: 10 calves vaccinated twice (days 1 and 28) s.c. with 2 mL of inactivated polyvalent vaccine per animal (Group I); 10 calves vaccinated in same manner with inactivated monovalent vaccine (Group II) and 9 unvaccinated calves (Control, Group C). Blood sera were obtained from the immunized animals by standard procedure: on days 0, 14, 28, 42 and 56 post immunization, and tested by VNT. The immune response developed more rapidly and values of geometric mean titer (GMT) for BVDV neutralizing Ab were substantially higher in blood sera of calves from Group II. In the second part of the study (Kurčušić et al., 2011b), the immune response to same BVDV vaccine was evaluated by the iELISA method. The occurrence of a specific Ab against BVDV was first detected on day 42 post immunization in both experimental groups of beef calves and remained steady until day 56. The statistically very significant differences (at the 99 % level) observed between the test animals of groups I and II on days 42 and 56 after vaccination suggest considerably higher immunogenicity of the monovalent vaccine. Đurišić et al. (2003) report that large pharmaceutical companies developed a vaccine manufacturing technology in which the viral genome is partially shortened or part of the genome is cut and incorporated into the genome of other living systems such as cell cultures to produce precisely defined glycoprotein (marker vaccines) which allows the identification of vaccinated and infected animals. There are two vaccines for active immunization of healthy cattle and calves against respiratory disease currently available in Serbia, produced by the only domestic manufacturer. Vibak® is combined, polyvalent vaccine (ATCvet code Q102AF) containing live attenuated viruses (IBRV and PI-3V) and cell culture of bacteria Diplococcus pneumoniae, Pasteurella multocida and Corynebacterium pyogenes. The other is inactivated oil vaccine Respi-Ol® (ATCvet Q102AD06), containing the immunogenic strains of PI-3V and IBRV. The only available registered import vaccine is Bovilis® IBR marker inac (Intervet International B.V., ATCvet Q102AA03), which contains inactivated BHV-1 strain GK/D.

Medication: The therapeutic approach for BRDC includes antimicrobial treatment due to the frequent participation of bacteria. The data concerning the use of ancillary medications (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, immunomodulators, bronchodilators) are often based on experimental models of BRDC (Francoz et al., 2012). Spreading of infective bacterial agents which infect the cattle with BRDC can be prevented by the use of antimicrobial agents when the number of “high risk” calves reach the "tolerance level". Drugs for metaphylaxis (mass medication) were selected on the basis of susceptibility testing, mainly with prolonged action, for the treatment of animals who were suspected of BRDC which have been in contact with the infected cattle and gave good results in reducing morbidity (Edwards, 2010; Urban-Chmiel and Grooms, 2012). The new macrolide antibiotics, third generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones and fencilos showed high clinical success (70-90%) in the treatment of cattle suffering of BRDC caused by Pasteurella’s, 1-2 days after treatment. Tulatromycin, florfenicol, cefotiofur are much more effective against most Pasteurella strains that are resistant to other antimicrobial drugs. Its liposolubility allowed a quick and complete absorption in the lung parenchyma, held there for seven days at concentrations higher than the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) for most bacteria and mycoplasma. Jezdimirović et al. (2011) examined the clinical efficacy of tulathromycin (TU) and florfenicol (FL) in the treatment of bronchopneumonia (BP) caused by Pasteurella multocida isolated from diseased six months old Holstein calves. TU proved to be a drug of first choice in the treatment of BP caused by P. multocida. Recent findings of Kurčušić et al. (2012) revealed that the most common bacteria in fattening cattle suffering from BRDC were P. multocida, Aeromonas viridans and Corynebacterium bovis, suggesting their higher importance in BRDC in Serbian beef cattle in regard to Mannheimia haemolytica, predominantly determined worldwide. Susceptibility testing revealed that the most efficient antibiotics against P. multocida isolates were enrofloxacin and florfenicol (100% of examined isolates sensitive on both antibiotics). Portis et al. (2012) tested bovine isolates of Mannheimia haemolytica, Pasteurella multocida, and Histophilus somni, collected over the last ten years to examine the in vitro sensitivity of the commonly applied ad us vet antibiotics that are available in the market. Cefotiofur remained very active against all isolates. Penicillin retained good activity against P. multocida and H. somni isolates. Enrofloxacin MIC50 values for the 3 pathogens also did not change over time, unlike the MIC50 values, which increased by at least 4-doubling dilutions. Ninety percent or more of M. haemolytica and H. somni isolates were susceptible to florfenicol, while susceptibility among P. multocida was 79% or higher. All 3 organisms showed declines in tilmicosin and tulathromycin MIC50 and MIC90 values.

Guidelines for Future Research: One of the most important tasks for the researchers to determine now is the trend of resistance of isolated bacteria to antibiotics used in clinical veterinary practice. If they detect multiresistant pathogens listed diseases, with sampling performed from healthy individuals who were not under antibiotic treatment, with the aim to isolate non-pathogenic strains sensitive to all antibiotics, which could be embedded in a new experimental vaccines. It is essential that a detailed analysis of selected characteristic sequences determine the position of the bacteria and viruses that lead to BRDC in the phylogenetic tree in relation to the same strains in the environment and then form a database. Phylogenetic analysis based on the comparison of the nucleotide/amino acid sequences of specific genetic determinants are an extremely powerful tool in modern approach in epizootiology, in order to determine the genetic diversity,
assess the evolutionary flow, a retrospective analysis of the geographical distribution of different antigenic types and subtypes of analyzed microbial agents was carried out. Vaccines and diagnostic manufacturers are interested in the results of these studies. Improvement of the existing or development of new vaccines can incorporate native and current determined viral and bacterial specific antigens, which are described and standardized by molecular methods.

**Conclusion:** Contemporary approach for BRDC researchers and veterinary clinicians in Serbia is to enable faster and more accurate (field) diagnosis in order to get a better prevention of the disease and achieve a significant reduction in economic losses. The ultimate goal is to improve the beef meat production intended for human consumption. It is necessary to study in detail a large number of predisposing environmental factors and applications of obtained results in adjustment of the herd management, taking into account the principles of animal welfare. It is imperative to properly design vaccination programs and reduce the usage of antibiotics, especially the drugs used routinely in human medicine.
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