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ABSTRACT

Babesiosis is endemic in Pakistan and is one of the most economically important bovine diseases that cause huge economic losses and high mortality in young animals. An epidemiological study was conducted to unveil the prevalence and associated risk factors of Babesia bovis (B. bovis) in distinct climatic regions. A total of 900 (cattle=479, buffaloes=421) blood samples were collected from three distinct temporal zones of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) province, Pakistan. The samples were analyzed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifying spherical body protein-4 (BbSBP-4) gene. Chi-square test, univariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression were used to analyze the data. The overall, prevalence in three distinct temporal zones of KPK province was found to be 10.11%. A higher prevalence of B. bovis was recorded in cattle 11.90%, compared with buffaloes 8.08% (OR:1.537, CI:0.984-2.403). Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of locally isolated B. bovis showed sequence homology with the reported Syrian strain using NCBI BLAST tool. Species of the animal, sex of animals, tick infestation status, previous tick history, and tick control status, management type and geo-location were the significant (OR>1) risk factors associated with the occurrence. This study is the first molecular evidence of B. bovis and its associated risk factors in climatically distinct regions of KPK province, Pakistan.

INTRODUCTION

Genus Babesia (Apicomplexa: Piroplasmida: Babesiidae), is a tick borne intraerythrocytic protozoa which causes a disease known as babesiosis. These infections are reported predominantly in summer season followed by rainy and winter seasons (Elhauig et al., 2016). Recently, the babesiosis has got significant attention in the human medicine as an emerging zoonotic disease (Savic et al., 2014). Many Babesia species causes clinical babesiosis in bovine however, B. bigemina, B. bovis and B. divergens are the most dominate etiological species so for clinically as well as economically in bovine populations. Babesiosis mostly occurs in tropical, subtropical and temperate regions of the globe affecting millions of cattle populations (Ojeda et al., 2010).

The distribution of babesiosis caused by these species (B. bigemina, B. bovis and B. divergens) is dependent on the availability of ixodid tick species, which are known to be transmitting these parasites between the bovine populations. In general, babesiosis progresses with varying intensity that can frequently be associated to the age and immunological status of the host, coexisting infections with other pathogens, and/or genetic factors. Common manifestations of acute babesial infections in different hosts can include pyrexia, anemia, hemoglobinuria, icterus, malaise, lethargy and anorexia, while the chronic status is generally asymptomatic (Schnittger et al., 2012). The water buffaloes have been reported to bear subclinical infections of B. bovis and B. bigemina; however, B. orientalis, which is transmitted by Rhipicephalus haemaphysaloides in China,
is highly pathogenic to these animals and produces great economic losses (Uilenberg, 2006; He et al., 2012).

The epidemiological studies in past from Pakistan have reported prevalence of babesiosis on the bases of microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained thin blood smear. The prevalence has been reported 2.85% in two research institutes i.e. NARC and BLPRI (Khan et al., 2004), 2.8% in district Peshawar (Afzali and Ahmad, 2005), 2.5% in district Kasur (Zahid et al., 2005), 9.67% in district Sahiwal (Rashid et al., 2010), 7.2% in district Sargodha and 6.57% in district Sargodha (Afzali et al., 2012). Very few studies have been conducted on molecular prevalence of bovine babesiosis. In Punjab province, some studies have reported prevalence in various areas of the province. In district Kasur 33.33% prevalence has been reported (Durrani and Kamal, 2008), 18.75% prevalence in southern Punjab (Zulfiqar et al., 2012), 11% in Livestock Experimental Station, Qadirabad (Chaudhry et al., 2010). To date only one study has shown molecular prevalence of B. bovis in KPK Province of Pakistan. The study has reported prevalence 6.30% in calves and 10.60% in cows (Shams et al., 2013). The phylogenetic study on B. bovis has not yet been conducted anywhere in Pakistan.

The laboratory diagnosis of clinical infection by piroplasms in cattle is usually based on detection of the parasite in Giemsa-stained blood smears. Carrier animals are important contributors to the transmission of the infection by tick bites. Hence, detection of piroplasms in carrier animals is very important to control the infection. However, detection of piroplasms by microscopy is not easy and the commonly co-existing pathogenic and non-pathogenic species cannot be distinguished. Serological tests can be used to detect circulating antibodies, but cross reactivity between the species can occur (Papadopoulos et al., 1996). Several PCR based diagnostic procedures for the identification of these parasites have been developed (Birkenheuer et al., 2003).

The data on molecular based epidemiology of B. bovis is still deficient in most parts of Pakistan. Therefore, this study focused the molecular epidemiology of B. bovis in different temporal regions of KPK province, Pakistan.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling and primary screening:** This study was conducted in year 2015 (April to September). A total of 900 blood samples (n=300 per zone) were collected using multistage sampling from three temporal zones (02 districts/zone) of KPK province, Pakistan (Fig. 1). Temporal zones were selected based on agro-ecological conditions. The blood samples were collected from apparently healthy animals for microscopic examination of Babesia-like inclusion bodies in the Giemsa-stained blood smears (Fig. 2) adopting the protocol as used by Moretti et al. (2010). Additionally, approximately 3ml of blood was collected into vacutainers containing EDTA for DNA extraction. A piloted questionnaire was filled for each sample from the owner to study the assumed risk factors.
Molecular diagnosis of *B. bovis*:
DNA extraction, quantification and purity analysis: Genomic DNA was extracted from 900 blood samples. The DNA extraction was carried out using DNA extraction kit (GeneAll®, Exgene™, 105-101) following the manufacturer’s directions. The extracted DNA was checked for concentration (ng/μl) and purity by means of spectrophotometry using the wavelengths 260/280nm ratio, revealing the samples had suitable DNA for PCR amplification.

PCR for the detection of *B. bovis*: The samples DNAs isolated were subjected to PCR, which amplified BbSBP-4 gene using primers reported by Terkawi et al. (2011b) (forward primer: 5'-AGTTGTGAGAGGAGGCTAAAT-3', and reverse primer: 5'-TCCTTCTCAGCTCCTTTCTTC-3'). PCR mixture was prepared in a final volume of 20μl consisting of 10μl of TOPreal™ qPCR 2x PreMIX, 2μl of DNA sample and 1μmol of each primer. Reaction was cycled 35 times after initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes with denaturation at 95°C, annealing at 60°C and extension step at 72°C, each step was given 30 seconds, a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min was performed. A positive control and a negative control were included in each PCR run. The PCR products were observed for positive bands against a 100bp molecular ladder on ethidium bromide stained 1% agarose gel. The bands observed at 907bp level were considered for further confirmation through sequencing.

Sequencing: The bands on 1.5% agarose gel were cut using cutter and were subjected to gel extraction using gel extraction kit (Catalog no. K210012), following the manufacturer’s directions. DNA concentration was checked by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. The samples were sent for sequencing to Macrogen-USA.

Statistical analysis: The data regarding prevalence and hypothesized risk factors were subjected to chi-square test, univariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression for analyses using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Odds ratios were determined to prove degree of association of risk factors. P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

In this study blood samples were collected from subsistent farms of three temporal zones of KPK, Pakistan (Fig. 1). The samples were processed both classically and molecularly based (microscopy and PCR). The samples showing intracellular inclusion bodies were declared positive while in PCR the amplification of 907bp fragment of BbSBP-4 gene revealed on a 1.5% agarose gel was declared positive test. The PCR based results (Fig. 3) of 900 apparently healthy animals (cattle=479; buffaloes=421) revealed 57 (11.90%) and 34 (08.08%) positive for babesiosis in cattle and buffaloes respectively.

The expected amplicons with sizes of 907bp were observed in 91 out of 900 samples. Twenty (20) out of 900 samples from apparently healthy animals, negative on microscopy were positive for *B. bovis* on PCR. The sensitivity of PCR was identified using concentrations of 0.1ng to 0.1pg of control positive DNA. The detection limit of the PCR was 0.125pg and the primers specific for BbSBP-4 gene of this *B. bovis* did not amplify the *Anaplasma marginale* (positive), which is commonly found together infecting cattle. The PCR products for this gene were subjected to sequencing. It was carried out for the SBP-4 gene of 3 isolates collected from different hosts and geographic locations. These sequences were analyzed using BLAST and CLUSTAL W alignment. BLAST queries of the resulted sequenced nucleotides indicated the sequence identity with BbSBP-4 gene of *B. bovis*. For comparative purposes, the nucleotide sequences of *B. bovis* from NCBI database were aligned. The amplicons showed 96-99% homology with the nucleotide sequences for this gene deposited in GenBank. The phylogenetic analysis was performed using Mega 7 program by maximum likelihood algorithm with bootstrapping at 1000 replications. The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the 3 sequenced products (B2-B Bov-F, B11-B Bov-F, B5-B Bov-F) from KPK province, Pakistan (Fig. 4).
The cumulative prevalence of the three temporal zones recorded using PCR was 10.11%. The highest prevalence was recorded from northern zone 12.67%, followed by central and southern zones with prevalence of 10.33% and 7.33%, respectively.

The assumed risk factors like geo-location, specie of animal, tick infestation status, previous tick history, ticks control status, acaricide usage, interval between acaricide usage, gender of animal, management type, age of animal and breed of animal were statistically analyzed (Table 1).

This study showed an overall 10.11% prevalence of babesiosis in KPK. A non-significant (P=0.05; OR=0.437; CI=0.187-1.019) association was recorded between geo-location and prevalence of babesiosis in bovine. However, the highest prevalence was recorded from northern zone followed by central and southern zone respectively. Species of animals also showed a non-significant association (P=0.05) with prevalence of babesiosis. The odds ratio 1.537 (CI=0.984-2.403) however suggested animal’s species as a potential risk factor for the disease dynamics. Tick infestation levels also showed a non-significant (P=0.05) association with prevalence of babesiosis. The odds ratio 1.310 (CI=0.846-2.030) however, declared the infestation status as the potential risk factor for the occurrence of babesiosis. The previous tick history showed a significant (P<0.05) association with prevalence of babesiosis. However, the odds ratio 0.798 (CI=0.162-2.780) suggested that the previous tick history was not a potential risk factor for the occurrence of babesiosis in bovine. The tick control status also showed a non-significant association (P>0.05) with prevalence of babesiosis. The odds ratio 0.668 (CI=0.430-1.035) also suggested that tick control status is not a potential risk factor for the occurrence of babesiosis in bovine population of KPK province, Pakistan. The results suggested that there was a significant (P=0.05) association in interval between acaricide usage and prevalence of babesiosis. The odds ratio 2.679 (CI=0.912-7.870) also declared the acaricide usage interval as a potent risk factor for the prevalence of babesiosis in bovine population. The buffalo breeds were studied for association with disease prevalence. The results showed a significant association with disease prevalence (OR=1.037 (CI=0.683-1.57) however, the chi-square value considered it a non-significant factor (P>0.05). Similarly, cattle breeds were also studied and although the chi-square value suggested

### Table 1: Univariate analysis of the potential risk factors associated with the occurrence of babesiosis in bovine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Variable level</th>
<th>No. Samples Examined (n=900)</th>
<th>Positive (%)</th>
<th>Negative (%)</th>
<th>OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>38 (12.67)</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.187-1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zone)</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>31 (10.33)</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.736-1.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>22 (07.33)</td>
<td>278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specie of Animal</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>57 (11.90)</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>1.537</td>
<td>0.984-2.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>34 (08.08)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>0.736-1.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticks infestation status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>52 (13.30)</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>0.864-2.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>39 (08.86)</td>
<td>401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Tick History</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50 (13.26)</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>1.162-2.780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>41 (07.84)</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.430-1.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticks control status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>51 (08.76)</td>
<td>531</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40 (12.58)</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.591-0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval Between Acaricide use</td>
<td>&gt;30 days</td>
<td>47 (09.73)</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>2.789</td>
<td>0.912-7.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>40 (12.70)</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non descript</td>
<td>0 (00.00)</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sahiwal</td>
<td>07 (07.45)</td>
<td>087</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Achai</td>
<td>07 (06.73)</td>
<td>097</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed of cattle</td>
<td>Crossbred</td>
<td>16 (12.90)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresian</td>
<td>15 (18.07)</td>
<td>068</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>12 (17.91)</td>
<td>055</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed of buffalo</td>
<td>Nili Ravi</td>
<td>13 (08.23)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>0.683-1.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kundi</td>
<td>10 (05.90)</td>
<td>091</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-descript</td>
<td>11 (06.79)</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of Animal</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23 (14.38)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>68 (09.19)</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Type</td>
<td>Intensive</td>
<td>83 (10.36)</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>3.345</td>
<td>0.986-2.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Semi-Intensive</td>
<td>08 (08.08)</td>
<td>091</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sucklers</td>
<td>13 (13.68)</td>
<td>082</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of Animal</td>
<td>Young stalk</td>
<td>20 (09.09)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>0.683-1.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Productive/old</td>
<td>58 (09.91)</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Multivariate analysis for risk factor associated with babesiosis in bovine of KPK province, Pakistan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Risk factors</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Upper-lower limit at 95% C.I.</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>0.422-2.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>0.736-1.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specie</td>
<td>1.175</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>3.227</td>
<td>1.307-8.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed</td>
<td>-0.193</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.677-1.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.109</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.641-1.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>1.714</td>
<td>1.010-2.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infestation</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>1.149</td>
<td>0.724-1.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous tick history</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>0.907-2.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tick control</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>0.697-2.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval of acaricide Usage</td>
<td>-0.586</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.349-0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>0.714-3.429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cattle breeds as a significant factor (P<0.05) affecting the disease dynamics but the odds ratio did not agree with its inclusion as significant factor (OR=0.740 (CI=0.59-0.91). The results also showed a non-significant (P>0.05) association between species of animals and babesiosis. However, the prevalence was high in male than in female animals. The odds ratio 1.345 (CI= 0.986-2.345) declared the animal’s gender as a potential risk factor for the occurrence of babesiosis. The study also shows a non-significant (P>0.05) association between the types of management with prevalence of babesiosis. The odds ratio 0.608 (CI=0.275-1.343) also declared management type as not a potential risk factor in the occurrence of babesiosis. The last factor studied was the age of animal, which showed a significant (P<0.05) association with prevalence of babesiosis. The odds ratio 2.622 (CI=1.316-5.222) suggested that age of the animal is a potential risk factor for the occurrence of babesiosis in bovine population of KPK Pakistan.

Multivariable model was developed using the backward manual step-wise elimination process removing variables with largest P-values respectively (Table 2). If a variable was found no longer significant statistically, after adjustment for the other variables was removed. Variables were removed or retained from the final model after considering their Wald Statistic with a P-value of 0.05. The incidence of confounding in data was assessed via monitoring estimated coefficient values and also by checking that values did not change more than 10% after dropping non-significant variables from the final model. Data collected on piloted questionnaire regarding 11 predicting variables were analyzed using R-statistical software and association between the dependent and independent variables was determined using univariable and multivariable analysis. Automated and manual approaches were exercised, which produced comparable models. Key risk factors identified from all the approaches included zone, district, specie, breed, age, sex, tick infestation, previous tick history, tick control, interval between acaricide usage and management, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, the samples were primarily screened for babesiosis based on slides showing intraerythrocytic inclusion bodies under light microscope. Similar, protocol was practiced by Niazi et al. (2008), screening the farm animals for babesiosis using light microscopy. BbSBP-4, GeneBank accession number (AB594813) gene amplification for the molecular identification of B. bovis on PCR. This work conforms to that of Terkawi et al. (2012), who also amplified the BbSBP-4 gene for the molecular identification of B. bovis and declares as the sensitive tool for the detection of B. bovis infection in bovine. These results confirm the previous findings that babesial infections are common in cattle in Asian countries (Terkawi et al., 2011a, 2012) to study the phylogenetic relationship between Babesia species of randomly selected bovine samples, PCR primers were utilized from previously published report from Terkawi et al. (2011b) based on nucleotide sequences of BbSBP genes. B. bovis-specific PCR assay specifically identified B. bovis DNA sample, and no amplifications were observed for DNA samples derived from A. marginale. The maximum likelihood tree (boot strapping method at 1000 replications) inferred with BbSBP gene sequences of B. bovis isolates determined in this study. The closely related sequences of B. bovis SBP-4 genes retrieved from GenBank were also incorporated in the phylogeny. The phylogenetic analyses made it worth noting that, the isolates from this study were clearly distinct from other closely related taxa whose sequences were obtained from the GenBank database. Interestingly, it was observed that, the isolates of this study i.e. B2, B5 and B11, clustered positively with Syrian strain of B. bovis (Accession no. AB617639.1) in the GenBank. This suggests the higher homology of our isolates with the published strains.

In the present study, the overall prevalence of B. bovis was found 10.11% in three climatic zones of KPK province, Pakistan. Similar, findings have been reported by Zulfiqar et al. (2012). They found 10% prevalence of B. bovis in Layyah and Bahawalnagar districts of Punjab province Pakistan. The similarity in the prevalence between the two study areas can be attributed to the tick vector distribution, study period of the year and climatic similarities. In another study, the close results have been reported from district Sahiwal (Niazi et al., 2008). They reported 13% prevalence of B. bovis infection. Similarly, Chaudhry et al. (2010) also reported 11% prevalence of B. bovis in crossbred carrier cattle kept at Livestock Experimental Station, Qadirabad, from June to August 2005. The zone wise prevalence of B. bovis was studied in the current research, the prevalence of B. bovis in northern zone was found to be 12.67% followed by central and southern zone showing prevalence of 10.33% and 7.33%, respectively these findings also positively conform to the findings of above published data.

The analysis of risk factors associated with B. bovis infection showed a significant association. The major risk factors revealed during the study were; specie of animal, sex of animal, breed of animal, previous history of tick infestation and interval between acaricide usage. Specie of animal and prevalence were significantly associated and cattle showed higher prevalence than buffaloes in the current study. Similar, findings have been dictated by Vahora et al. (2012) reporting higher prevalence in cattle than in buffaloes. This prevalence changes can be attributed to the higher vulnerability of cattle to the tick burden as compared to buffaloes. Next factor, found significantly associated with babesiosis prevalence was sex of animal. Male were found more susceptible to babesial infections as compared to the female animals. Similar, findings have been reported by Iqbal et al. (2011), and other scientists (Durrani and Kamal, 2008; Atif et al., 2012b) reporting a higher prevalence in male than in female animals. The reason for the higher prevalence in males than females could be the negligence of farmers towards the male stock. Another factor, studied in the current project was breed of animal, found significantly associated with the disease prevalence. The exotic animals and their crosses were mostly affected as compared to the local breeds of cattle and buffaloes. These findings are in line with the results of Atif et al. (2012b) who also reported a higher prevalence of babesiosis in crossbred and exotic animals compared to
local breeds of animals. This could be attributed to the higher vulnerability of exotic animals and their crosses to tick infestation (Bock et al., 1997). Association between previous tick history and babesiosis prevalence was also studied in this project, which was statistically significant while the tick infestation status was non-significantly associated with the disease dynamics. The other scientists (Atif, 2015; Ali et al., 2016), though suggested the tick infestation status as a potential factor for incidence and prevalence of hemoparasites. However the results for association of prevalence with previous tick history are in line with the finding of (Zhang et al., 2014), reporting the higher prevalence with direct or indirect contact to ticks. The tick control status and interval between acaricide usages also were significantly associated with prevalence of babesiosis. These findings are in agreement with results of (Kocan, 1995) who reported similar findings. In the current study, management system has no significant effect on the disease prevalence and the possible reason for this could be the lack of knowledge in the farming community about management systems as clear from the study most of the farmers are small holders and illiterate.
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