GROWTH PERFORMANCE OF METAL STRESSED MAJOR CARPS VIZ. CATLA CATLA, LABEO ROHITA AND CIRRHINA MRIGALA REARED UNDER SEMI-INTENSIVE CULTURE SYSTEM

S. HAYAT, M. JAVED AND S. RAZZAQ

Department of Zoology and Fisheries, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-38040, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Fingerlings of three major carps viz. *Catla catla, Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*, were exposed to sub-lethal concentrations of manganese for 30 days. During the exposure period, all three fish species showed negative growth with weight increment values of -0.22, -0.72 and -3.90g, respectively. At the end of stress trial, both treated and control fish were shifted to out-door earthen ponds for semi-intensive culture and monitored for a period of six months. The ponds were fertilized with poultry droppings at the rate of 0.16g nitrogen per 100g net fish weight daily. Moreover, supplementary feed (35% digestible protein) was also dispensed to fish. Analysis of variance on wet weight, fork and total length of three fish species showed that the fortnights, species and treatments exerted significant effects (p<0.01). Among three fish species, *Catla catla* gained significantly higher weight, followed by *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*. *Cirrhina mrigala* showed significantly higher value of fork and total lengths, followed by *Catla catla* and *Labeo rohita*. However, control fish showed significantly higher weights, fork and total lengths as compared to stressed fish reared under semi-intensive culture system. On the basis of this investigation, it was concluded that stressed major carps under sub-lethal concentrations of manganese showed significantly lower values of weight, fork and total lengths than control fish when reared under semi-intensive culture system.

Key words: Fish, major carps, growth, sub-lethal stress, manganese.

INTRODUCTION

In Pakistan, due to increased industrialization, contamination of natural freshwaters with heavy metals, such as iron, zinc, lead, nickel and manganese, has become a problem of national importance. In aquatic ecosystems, heavy metals have received considerable attention due to their toxicity and accumulation in biota (Javed, 2004). In fish, the toxic effects of heavy metals may influence individual growth rates, reproduction and mortality (Farag *et al.*, 1995). Any disturbance can result in reduced fish metabolic rate and hence reduced growth (Sarnowski, 2003).

The high manganese concentration detected in the gills of various fish species showed that the main route of manganese uptake was through the gills because little absorption of this metal occurred through the gut via the food (Katz *et al.*, 1972). Long-term exposure (20 days or more) to waterborne cadmium at sub-lethal concentrations showed decreased growth in juvenile and adult rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Ricard *et al.*, 1998).

The high concentrations of heavy metals in effluents pouring into the riverine systems of Pakistan can adversely affect growth and survival of major carps. Therefore, this research project was planned to investigate the growth response of the manganese stressed major carps reared under semi-intensive polyculture system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Fisheries Research Farms, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan. Fingerlings of major carps viz. *Catla catla, Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala* (induced bred) were acclimatized in wet laboratory. Sixty fish of each species were divided into two groups (30 fish per group). One group of three fish species was kept as control, while the other group was exposed to sub-lethal concentrations of manganese (21.67, 23.33 and 33.33 mgL⁻¹ for *Catla catla, Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*, respectively), using manganese chloride, as determined by Javed and Abdullah (2003), in glass aquariums for a period of 30 days.

The fish were dispensed with the feed (35% digestible protein and 2.90 Kcalg⁻¹ digestible energy) at 10:00 hours daily. During the exposure experiments, the water quality parameters viz. water temperature, dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, pH, carbon

dioxide, total ammonia, chlorides, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium and total hardness of water were monitored at 09:00 hours daily, following the methods of APHA (1989).

Growth studies

After 30-day exposure, the treated and control fish were shifted to outdoor earthen ponds, separately, with the stocking density of 2.87 m³ per fish (Javed et al., 1996). The interspecies ratio for Catla catla, Labeo rohita and Cirrhina mrigala was 30, 50 and 20 percent, respectively. The next day of stocking, the ponds were fertilized with poultry droppings on the basis of its nitrogen contents @ 0.16g nitrogen/100g of fish weight daily. However, when the water temperature exceeded 22°C, supplementary feed (35% digestible protein and 2.90 Kcalg⁻¹ digestible energy) was offered to fish daily (six days a week) at the rate of 2 percent of fish biomass. For growth studies, test netting of fish was performed fortnightly. Growth parameters including increase or decrease in wet weight, fork length and total length were studied at fortnightly intervals for six months.

Limnological studies of ponds

Among physico-chemical parameters, water temperature, pH, electrical conductivity and dissolved oxygen of ponds were recorded on daily basis. Similarly, total ammonia, chlorides, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, total hardness, total alkalinity, nitrates, phosphates and dry weights of planktonic biomass were determined on weekly basis, following the methods of APHA (1989).

Statistical analysis

The data on different parameters of fish growth and pond limnology were subjected to statistical analysis by using analysis of variance and Duncan's Multiple Range tests through two-way classification (factorial experiment) with repeated sampling (Steel *et al.*, 1996). MSTATC and MICROSTAT packages of the computer were used for these analyses.

RESULTS

Growth performance of fish during manganese stress

Catla catla, Labeo rohita and Cirrhina mrigala showed negative weight increments of -0.22, -0.72 and -3.90g, respectively during the stress period of 30 days. The fork and total length increments were also negative for Labeo rohita and Cirrhina mrigala. The Catla catla, however, showed positive fork and total length increments of 1.97 and 1.80 mm, respectively (Table 1).

The feed intake did not vary among fingerlings of the three species. The mean values of water quality parameters monitored on daily basis are also presented in Table 1.

Growth studies under semi-intensive culture system

Analysis of variance revealed that the fortnights, species and treatments had statistically significant effect on the performance of fish in terms of weight, fork and total length increments. Same was true for interaction of species and treatment, except that for weight it was non-significant (Table 2). Catla catla gained significantly higher weight, followed by Labeo rohita and Cirrhina mrigala. The Cirrhina mrigala showed significantly higher fork and total length values, followed by Catla catla and Labeo rohita. However, control fish showed significantly higher weight, fork and total lengths as compared to the stressed fish of all three species when reared under semi-intensive culture system (Table 3).

Physico-chemistry of ponds

Analysis of variance on physico-chemical variables of ponds are shown in Table 4. The values of temperature, dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, pH, total ammonia, chlorides, potassium, calcium, magnesium, total hardness, total alkalinity, phosphates and planktonic biomass showed non-significant, while sodium and nitrate contents showed statistically significant differences between control and experimental ponds.

DISCUSSION

During the sub-lethal stress of manganese in aquariums, Catla catla, Labeo rohita and Cirrhina mrigala showed significant reduction in weights by 0.22, 0.72 and 3.90g, respectively, indicating different growth responses of three fish species which are species specific. In this case, the sub-lethal stress reduced the fish metabolic rate and hence reduced growth (Sarnowski, 2003). These results are in confirmatory with those of Fracacio et al. (2003), who observed growth reduction in Danio reiro (Pisces cyprinidae) exposed to contaminated environment. Performance of Cirrhina mrigala and Labeo rohita in terms of fork and total length showed negative growth. The differences between these two species for their fork and total lengths were statistically significant during the stress period. These results are in confirmatory with those of Linde et al. (2004), who reported that different fish species responded differently towards metal exposure. In fish, the toxic effects of heavy metals may influence physiological functions, individual growth rate,

Table 1: Growth responses of Catla catla, Labeo rohita and Cirrhina mrigala during sub-lethal stress of

Catla catla	Labeo rohita	Cirrhina mrigala
21.67	23.33	33.33
100	100	100
3.78 ± 0.97	2.83 ± 1.02	7.45 ± 2.27
3.56 ± 1.47	2.11 ± 0.48	3.55 ± 1.05
-0.22a	-0.72b	-3.90c
67.33 ± 6.347	60.00 ± 6.38	87.50 ± 13.08
69.30 ± 8.10	58.30 ± 5.70	68.30 ± 5.70
1.97a	-1.70b	-19.20c
77.50 ± 7.29	68.00 ± 6.68	98.50 ± 12.48
79.30 ± 8.90	66.30 ± 4.10	79.00 ± 6.90
1.80a	-1.70b	-19.50c
$2.64 \pm 0.21a$	$4.64 \pm 0.24a$	$3.59 \pm 0.18a$
6.16 ± 1.08	6.21 ± 1.06	6.23 ± 1.17
23.68 ± 2.10	23.79 ± 2.18	23.79 ± 2.12
8.23 ± 0.24	8.22 ± 0.26	8.26 ± 0.26
1.80 ± 0.06	1.81 ± 0.06	1.80 ± 0.05
4.11 ± 1.40	3.89 ± 1.07	3.83 ± 1.26
0.00	0.00	0.00
240.09 ± 6.25	240.36 ± 11.63	242.72 ± 7.19
352.72 ± 40.27	363.63 ± 21.57	360.27 ± 34.95
8.09 ± 0.83	8.18 ± 0.6	8.27 ± 0.46
30.61 ± 10.49	37.16 ± 13.89	35.34 ± 15.08
44.61 ± 8.56	44.26 ± 13.09	45.16 ± 12.78
267.27 ± 23.27	270.00 ± 39.74	269.09 ± 36.45
	21.67 100 3.78 ± 0.97 3.56 ± 1.47 $-0.22a$ 67.33 ± 6.347 69.30 ± 8.10 $1.97a$ 77.50 ± 7.29 79.30 ± 8.90 $1.80a$ $2.64 \pm 0.21a$ 6.16 ± 1.08 23.68 ± 2.10 8.23 ± 0.24 1.80 ± 0.06 4.11 ± 1.40 0.00 240.09 ± 6.25 352.72 ± 40.27 8.09 ± 0.83 30.61 ± 10.49 44.61 ± 8.56	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Means with different letters in a row differ significantly (p< 0.05) from each other.

Table 2: Analysis of variance on wet weights (g), fork and total lengths of three fish species reared under semi-intensive culture system

S.O.V.	D.F.	Mean squares			
		Average weight	Average fork length	Average total length	
Fortnights	12	5628.715 **	4720.266 **	5656.644 **	
Species	2	949.614 **	419.822 **	792.936 **	
Treatments	1	447.075 *	905.424 **	1399.541 **	
Species x Treatment	2	$249.952^{\text{ N S}}$	651.305 **	719.169 **	
Error	60	84.011	39.133	42.138	

** = Significant at p<0.01 * = Significant at p<0.05

NS = Non- significant

reproduction and mortality (Woodward *et al.*, 1994). However, *Catla catla* fingerlings showed increase in fork and total lengths contrary to other two species. This might have been due to the fact that the growth potential of *Catla catla* is the highest among these three species.

Under pond culture, *Catla catla* gained significantly higher weight, than *Labeo rohita* and *Cirrhina mrigala*, indicating higher specific growth rate for the former species. However, *Cirrhina mrigala* showed significantly higher values of fork and total lengths than other two species (Table 2). It was,

probably, due to body shape of this species, as at the same body weights it shows more length than other two species.

The control fish showed significantly higher growth in terms of weight, fork length and total length than the manganese stressed fish when reared under semi-intensive culture system (Table 2). The sub-lethal stress of manganese to fish at fingerling stage in this investigation might have had adverse effect on fish growth in earthen ponds. Sherwood *et al.* (2000) have reported negative effect of exposure of different heavy metals on the growth performance of yellow perch.

Table 3: Multiple means comparisons for body weight, fork length and total length of fish.

Fortnights # Average weight (g) Average fork length (mm) Average total length (mm)					
Average weight (g)	Average fork	length (mm)	Average tota		
$14.03 \pm 23.00h$	$86.89 \pm$	8.00I	$101.03 \pm$	8.81j	
20.15 ± 5.82 gh	99.95 ±	8.80h	$115.70 \pm$	9.60i	
$23.46 \pm 6.71 \text{fgh}$	$103.58 \pm$	9.91h	$121.05 \pm$	8.30i	
26.76 ± 5.90 efg	$112.37 \pm$	12.50g	$131.33 \pm$	10.40h	
28.76 ± 5.70 efg	$117.63 \pm$	1060fg	$135.33 \pm$	10.30gh	
$30.53 \pm 4.61 \text{defg}$	$119.62 \pm$	10.40f	$137.87 \pm$	10.60fgh	
$31.36 \pm 4.80 def$	$120.87 \pm$	9.80ef	$141.48 \pm$	12.40efg	
$33.87 \pm 4.79 \text{def}$	$123.53 \pm$	9.37ef	$143.93 \pm$	11.80ef	
35.84 ± 5.00 de	$127.85 \pm$	9.30de	$146.25 \pm$	12.10de	
$40.35 \pm 4.30d$	$132.73 \pm$	9.35d	$151.98 \pm$	11.20d	
$65.55 \pm 11.50c$	$153.47 \pm$	3.08c	$174.67 \pm$	6.70c	
$91.47 \pm 17.30b$	$168.95 \pm$	6.53b	$191.37 \pm$	8.48b	
$118.88 \pm 29.40a$	$187.44 \pm$	8.79a	$192.87 \pm$	6.47a	
$50.08 \pm 39.90a$	126.61 ±	30.10b	$145.97 \pm$	33.90b	
$40.45 \pm 27.60b$	$123.67 \pm$	26.60b	$141.26 \pm$	28.70c	
$38.94 \pm 25.05b$	$131.62 \pm$	28.50a	$152.26 \pm$	31.00a	
$40.76 \pm 33.24b$	$123.89 \pm$	29.80b	$142.26 \pm$	32.37b	
$45.55 \pm 29.47a$	$130.71 \pm$	26.85a	$150.73 \pm$	29.74a	
	m . 1	a		a	
Treated Control	Treated	Control	Treated	Control	
50.19a 49.96a	127.45b	125.76bc	146.47b	145.465b	
39.01a 41.88a	121.53c	125.80bc	137.94c	144.575b	
33.08a 44.80a	122.69bc	140.54a	142.37bc	162.158a	
	Average weight (g) 14.03 ± 23.00h 20.15 ± 5.82gh 23.46 ± 6.71fgh 26.76 ± 5.90efg 28.76 ± 5.70efg 30.53 ± 4.61defg 31.36 ± 4.80def 33.87 ± 4.79def 35.84 ± 5.00de 40.35 ± 4.30d 65.55 ± 11.50c 91.47 ± 17.30b 118.88 ± 29.40a 50.08 ± 39.90a 40.45 ± 27.60b 38.94 ± 25.05b 40.76 ± 33.24b 45.55 ± 29.47a Treated Control 50.19a 49.96a 39.01a 41.88a	Average weight (g) Average fork 14.03 ± 23.00h 86.89 ± 20.15 ± 5.82gh 99.95 ± 23.46 ± 6.71fgh 103.58 ± 26.76 ± 5.90efg 112.37 ± 28.76 ± 5.70efg 117.63 ± 30.53 ± 4.61defg 119.62 ± 31.36 ± 4.80def 120.87 ± 33.87 ± 4.79def 123.53 ± 35.84 ± 5.00de 127.85 ± 40.35 ± 4.30d 132.73 ± 65.55 ± 11.50c 153.47 ± 91.47 ± 17.30b 168.95 ± 118.88 ± 29.40a 187.44 ± 50.08 ± 39.90a 126.61 ± 40.45 ± 27.60b 123.67 ± 38.94 ± 25.05b 131.62 ± 40.76 ± 33.24b 123.89 ± 45.55 ± 29.47a 130.71 ± Treated Control Treated 50.19a 49.96a 127.45b 39.01a 41.88a 121.53c	Average weight (g) Average fork length (mm) 14.03 ± 23.00h 86.89 ± 8.00I 20.15 ± 5.82gh 99.95 ± 8.80h 23.46 ± 6.71fgh 103.58 ± 9.91h 26.76 ± 5.90efg 112.37 ± 12.50g 28.76 ± 5.70efg 117.63 ± 1060fg 30.53 ± 4.61defg 119.62 ± 10.40f 31.36 ± 4.80def 120.87 ± 9.80ef 33.87 ± 4.79def 123.53 ± 9.37ef 35.84 ± 5.00de 127.85 ± 9.30de 40.35 ± 4.30d 132.73 ± 9.35d 65.55 ± 11.50c 153.47 ± 3.08c 91.47 ± 17.30b 168.95 ± 6.53b 118.88 ± 29.40a 187.44 ± 8.79a 50.08 ± 39.90a 126.61 ± 30.10b 40.45 ± 27.60b 123.67 ± 26.60b 38.94 ± 25.05b 131.62 ± 28.50a 40.76 ± 33.24b 123.89 ± 29.80b 45.55 ± 29.47a 130.71 ± 26.85a Treated Control Treated Control 50.19a 49.96a 127.45b 125.76bc 39.01a 41.88a 121.53c 125.80bc	Average weight (g)Average fork length (mm)Average tota $14.03 \pm 23.00h$ $86.89 \pm 8.00l$ $101.03 \pm 20.15 \pm 5.82gh$ $20.15 \pm 5.82gh$ $99.95 \pm 8.80h$ $115.70 \pm 23.46 \pm 6.71fgh$ $23.46 \pm 6.71fgh$ $103.58 \pm 9.91h$ $121.05 \pm 26.76 \pm 5.90efg$ $28.76 \pm 5.90efg$ $112.37 \pm 12.50g$ $131.33 \pm 28.76 \pm 5.70efg$ $30.53 \pm 4.61defg$ $119.62 \pm 10.40f$ $137.87 \pm 31.36 \pm 4.80def$ $31.36 \pm 4.80def$ $120.87 \pm 9.80ef$ $141.48 \pm 33.87 \pm 4.79def$ $33.87 \pm 4.79def$ $123.53 \pm 9.37ef$ $143.93 \pm 35.84 \pm 5.00de$ $40.35 \pm 4.30d$ $132.73 \pm 9.35d$ $151.98 \pm 40.35 \pm 4.30d$ $40.35 \pm 4.30d$ $132.73 \pm 9.35d$ $151.98 \pm 40.35 \pm 4.30d$ $65.55 \pm 11.50c$ $153.47 \pm 3.08c$ $174.67 \pm 91.47 \pm 17.30b$ $91.47 \pm 17.30b$ $168.95 \pm 6.53b$ $191.37 \pm 118.88 \pm 29.40a$ $118.88 \pm 29.40a$ $187.44 \pm 8.79a$ $192.87 \pm 91.37 \pm 118.89 \pm 11.39 \pm 118.89 \pm 11.39 \pm 118.39 \pm 11.39 \pm 118.39 \pm 118$	

Means with different letters in a column or a row differ significantly (p < 0.05) from each other.

Table 4: Analysis of variance on physico-chemical variables of ponds during semi-intensive culture trials

Parameters	Mean square	S.E.	F-value	Probability
Water temperature (°C)	0.569	1.051	3.6736	NS
Dissolved oxygen (mg L ⁻¹)	2.661	0.1911	5.1079	NS
Electrical conductivity (mS cm ⁻¹)	0.067	0.0298	5.3752	NS
PH	0.017	0.218	2.4881	NS
Total ammonia (mg L ⁻¹)	0.048	0.1006	0.3392	NS
Chlorides (mg L ⁻¹)	918.519	5.6632	2.0457	NS
Sodium (mg L ⁻¹)	13282.252	10.5824	8.4721	*
Potassium (mg L ⁻¹)	.298	0.1377	1.1245	NS
Calcium (mg L ⁻¹)	42.657	1.4406	1.4681	NS
Magnesium (mg L ⁻¹)	3.319	0.7000	0.4838	NS
Total hardness (mg L ⁻¹)	3.162	2.3171	0.0421	NS
Total alkalinity (mg L ⁻¹)	450.885	6.4782	0.7674	NS
Nitrates (mg L ⁻¹)	24.760	0.5209	6.5179	*
Phosphates (mg L ⁻¹)	0.002	0.1674	0.1674	NS
Planktonic biomass (mg L ⁻¹)	783.676	10.5735	0.5007	NS

^{* =} Significant at p<0.05 NS = Non-significant

On the basis of this investigation, it was concluded that stressed major carps under sub-lethal concentrations of manganese showed significantly lower values of weight, fork and total lengths than control fish when reared under semi-intensive culture system.

REFERENCES

Farag, A. M., M. A. Stansbury, C. Hogstrand, E. Mac Connel and H. L. Bergman, 1995. The physiological impairment of free ranging brown

- trout exposed to metals in the Clark Fork river, Montana. Canadian J. Fish. Aquatic Sci., 52: 2038-2050.
- Fracacio, R., N. F. Verani, E. L. G. Espindola, O. Rigolen and C. A. Andrade, 2003. Alteration in growth and gill morphology of Danio rerio (*Pisces ciprinidae*) imposed to the toxic sediments. Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol., 46(4): 685-695.
- Javed, M., 2004. Comparison of selected heavy metals toxicity in the planktonic biota of the river Ravi. Indus J. Biol. Sci., 1(2): 59-62.
- Javed, M. and S. Abdullah, 2003. Studies on growth and bio-energetics of fish under heavy metal toxicity. First Annual Report, PSF Project Deptt. Zoology and Fisheries, Univ. Agri., Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Javed, M., M. Hassan and S. Hayat, 1996. Impact of physico-chemistry of water on the planktonic productivity and fish yields of ponds fertilized with broiler droppings. Pakistan Vet. J., 16(1): 31-35.
- Linde, A. R., S. Sanchez-Galan and E. Garcia-Vzquez, 2004. Heavy metal contamination of European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) caught from wild ecosystems in Spain. J. Food Prot., 67(10): 2332-2336.
- Katz, M., R. S. Legore, D. Weitkamp, J. M. Cummins, D. Anderson and D. R. May, 1972. Effects of manganese on freshwater fish. J. World Print and Communication Forum, 44(6): 1226-1250.

- Ricard, A. C., C. Daniel, P. Anderson and A. Hontela, 1998. Effects of sub-chronic exposure of cadmium chloride on endocrine and metabolic functions in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 34: 377-381.
- Sarnowski, P., 2003. The effect of metals on yolk sac resorption and growth of starved and fed common carp (*Cyprinus carpio L.*) larvae. Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Piscaria, 2(1): 227-236.
- APHA, 1989. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 17th Ed., American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, USA.
- Sherwood, G. D., J. B. Rasmussen, D. J. Rowan, J. Brodeur and A. Hontela, 2000. Bioenergetic costs of heavy metal exposure in yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*): in situ estimates with a radiotracer (137Cs) technique. Canadian J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 57: 441-449.
- Steel, R. G. D., J. H. Torrie and D. A. Dinkkey, 1996.Principles and Procedures of Statistics. 2nd Ed.,McGraw Hill Book Co., Singapore.
- Woodward, D. F., W. G. Brumbaug, A. J. Delonay and C. Smith, 1994. Effects on rainbow trout of metal contaminated diet of benthic invertebrates from the Clark Fork river, Montana. Trans. Amer. Fish Soc., 123: 51-62.